

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

Fiscal Year: 2005	5								
Region: 10									
NPM: OAR	NPM: OAR								
OAP1 - K-12 Schools: Recruit new partner school districts.									
Comments:									
G: 1	O: 5	S:	ST:	Grp: 194	Apg: 1	PM: G02			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Dis	stricts							
OAP2 - K-12 Sch	ools: Benchn	nark or re-bench	mark school dis	tricts.					
Comments:									
G: 1	O: 5	S:	ST:	Grp: 194	Apg: 1	PM: G02			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Numerical Units: Districts									



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

OAP3 - College or University: Benchmark or re-benchmark all residences on one campus. Comments: G: 1 O: 5 S: ST: PM: G02 Grp: 194 Apg: 1 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Campuses OAP4 - College or University: Recruit new partners. Comments: G: 1 O: 5 S: ST: Grp: 194 PM: G02 Apg: 1 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Partners



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

OAP5 - Hospitals: Benchmark or re-benchmark small hospitals. Comments: G: 1 O: 5 S: ST: PM: G02 Grp: 194 Apg: 1 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Hospitals OAP6 - Hospitals: Recruit new partners. Comments: G: 1 O: 5 S: ST: Grp: 194 PM: G02 Apg: 1 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Hospitals



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

OAP7 - Local Govt: Benchmark or re-benchmark new courthouses. Comments: G: 1 O: 5 S: ST: PM: G02 Grp: 194 Apg: 1 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Courthouses OAP8 - Local Govt: Recruit new partners. Comments: G: 1 O: 5 S: ST: Grp: 194 PM: G02 Apg: 1 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Local Govts



Datatype: Numerical

Units: states assisted

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

OAQPS N01 - Implement the PM2.5 NAAQS: Complete PM 2.5 area designations. Comments: % of PM2.5 areas designated. Each Region is expected to designate 100% of the areas in their States. O: 1 S: 1 ST: G: 1 Grp: 462 PM: M13 Apg: 2 Managing Office: Datatype: Percentage Units: areas designate OAQPS N02 - Implement the PM2.5 NAAQS: Assist States in developing effective modeling protocols. Comments: Unit of measure = number of states assisted. G: 1 O: 1 S: 1 ST: Grp: 462 PM: M13 Apg: 2 Managing Office:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

OAQPS N03 - Implement the PM2.5 NAAQS: Assist States in developing 2002 Base Year Emission Inventories Comments: Unit of measure = number of states assisted. O: 1 S: 1 ST: G: 1 Grp: 462 PM: M13 Apg: 2 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: states assisted OAQPS N07 - Continue to Implement the 1-hour Ozone NAAQS: Complete review and process the Mid-course reviews by State for the 1-hr ozone NAAQS Comments: Unit of measure = number of State reviews completed. G: 1 O: 1 S: 1 ST: Grp: 451 Apg: 1 PM: M1 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: reviews complet



Datatype: Numerical

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Units: FRNs published

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

OAQPS N08 - Continue to Implement the 1-hour Ozone NAAQS: Publish clean data finding for areas achieving the NAAQS for 1-hour ozone Comments: unit of measure = number of clean data findings published Target will be sum of Regions expected clean data FRNs G: 1 S: 1 ST: O: 1 Grp: 451 PM: M1 Apg: 1 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: published findi OAQPS N09 - Implement the Regional Haze Program: Take final action on section 309 SIPs. Comments: Unit of measure = Number of final Federal Register notices published regarding 309 SIPs G: 1 O: 1 S: 1 ST: Grp: 010 PM: Apg: Managing Office:



Datatype: Numerical

Units: final redesig.

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

OAQPS N10 - Attain and Maintain the other NAAQS: Take final action on CO redesignation requests Comments: Unit of measure = number of redesignations finalized. G: 1 O: 1 S: 1 ST: Grp: 453 PM: N31 Apg: 2 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: final redesig. OAQPS N11 - Attain and Maintain the other NAAQS: Take final action on SO2 redesignation requests Comments: Unit of measure = number of redesignations finalized. G: 1 O: 1 S: 1 ST: Grp: 453 PM: N31 Apg: 2 Managing Office:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

OAQPS N12 - Attain and Maintain the PM10 NAAQS: Take final action on PM10 redesignation requests.									
Comments: Unit of measure = number of redesignations finalized.									
G: 1	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp: 452	Apg: 1	PM: M09			
Managing Office:	Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical	Datatype: Numerical Units: final redesign.								
OAQPS N14 - Im permits.	plement the T	itle V and NSR F	Programs: Take	e action on citizer	n petitions obje	ecting to Title V			
Comments: At EOY, each Region will need to report the number of petitions that existed at beginning of year & those that came in versus the number of draft orders transmitted to OGC									
G: 1	O: 1	S:	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Yes/No Units: EOY report									



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

OAQPS N15 - Implement the Title V and NSR Programs: Take action on NSR SIP/TIP submittals, equivalency demonstrations, and delegation requests submitted in response to revisions to NSR rules, including the minor source Indian Country NSR FIP.

Comments: Unit of measure =number of actions taken Will sum up actions proposed by the Regions

Will sum up actions proposed by the Regions									
G: 1	O: 1	S:	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Numerical	Units: act	ions taken							
OAQPS N16 - Im necessary to ens				ew PSD and non	attainment NS	R permits as			
Comments: Unit Target 100% fron			viewed						
G: 1	O: 1	S:	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Percentage	e Units: per	mits reviewe							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,
Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

OAQPS N17 - Implement the Title V and NSR Programs: Evaluate one quarter of State and local Title V permitting programs and issue evaluation reports within 90 days Comments: Unit of measure = number of evaluation reports issued. Planned target is a quarter of the T5 programs. National target will be sum of Regional commitments G: 1 O: 1 S: ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: reports issued OAQPS N18 - Implement the Title V and NSR programs: Issue all remaining initial Title V permits in Indian Country and those scheduled for renewal Comments: Unit of measure = number of Title V permits issued in Indian Country. Note: National target of 41 is 22 initial and 19 renewal permits. G: 1 O: 1 S: ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: T5 permits issu



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

OAQPS T01a - Air Toxics - Implement Source-specific and Sector-based Standards: Delegate and/or ensure implementation of 100% of applicable major and area source section 112(d) standards.

Comments: Regions should bid "yes" or "no". Yes is agreement to address the standards and report at the end of year on activities undertaken. (Standard reporting format will be developed for the end of year report.)

of year on activiti	es undertaker	n. (Standard rep	orting format wi	II be developed fo	or the end of y	ear report.)
G: 1	O: 1	S: 2	ST: 1	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:						
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: std	s addressed				
OAQPS T01b - A implementation o	f 100% of 111	(d), and 129 sta	ndards.		_	e and/or ensure
G: 1	O: 1	S: 2	ST: 1	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:					13	
Datatype: Percentag	e Units: Sta	ate/Fed plans				



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - * Data Source: BAS

OAQPS T02 - Air Toxics - Implement Source-specific and Sector-based Standards: Work with States to implement MACT/BACT/GACT and/or section 112(d) standards.

report should incl				tandards and pro	ovide "EOY" re	ports. The EOY
G: 1	O: 1	S: 2	ST: 1	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:						
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: sta	ndards imple				
OAQPS T03 - Ail MACT/BACT/GAC						nt
Comments: Agre number of standa			ds & provide EC	Y report. The EC	OY report shou	ıld include the
G: 1	O: 1	S: 2	ST: 1	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:						
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: sta	ndards imple				



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Units: projects funded

Datatype: Numerical

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

OAQPS T04 - Air Toxics - Reduce Risk: Work with S/L/T on community-based projects including multi-media projects through Community Action for Renewed Environment (CARE) to obtain reductions from mobile, indoor and stationary sources. Comments: Unit of measure = number of community projects funded National target will be sum of Regional targets G: 1 O: 1 S: 2 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Units: projects funded Datatype: Numerical OAQPS T05 - Air Toxics - Reduce Risk: Work with S/L/T to evaluate both quantitatively and qualitatively environmental results from community-based projects including multi-media projects Comments: Unit of measure = number of community projects funded G: 1 O: 1 S: 2 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

DAQPS T06 - Air Toxics - Reduce Risk: Maintain and enhance at a minimum 22 NATTS sites.									
Comments: Unit of measure = percent of data completeness									
G: 1	O: 1	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Percentag	Datatype: Percentage Units: data completene								
OAQPS T07 - Air EPA.	· Toxics - Red	uce Risk: Assist	states with thei	r community mor	nitoring project	s funded by			
Comments: United Target will be sur			es assisted.						
G: 1	O: 1	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Numerical	Units: sta	tes assisted							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

OAQPS T08 - Air Toxics - Reduce Risk: Train states and tribes on Air Toxics Program requirements. Comments: Unit of measure = number of states and tribes trained. Target will be sum of Regional commitments S: 2 ST: PM: G: 1 O: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: S & T trained OAQPS T09 - Air Toxics - Reduce Risk: Assist tribes in carrying out monitoring activities to assess potential toxics problems, and in developing tribal air quality management programs to address local problems identified by monitoring. Comments: Unit of measure = number of tribes operating monitors Target will be sum of Regional commitments G: 1 O: 1 S: 2 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Units: tribal monitors Datatype: Numerical



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

OAQPS Tr1 - Tribal Programs: Develop or implement FIPs. Comments: Unit of measure = number developed or implemented Target will be sum of Regional commitments G: 1 O: 1 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: FIPs OAQPS Tr2 - Tribal Programs: Finalize FIPs applicable to reservations in Washington, Idaho, and Oregon. Comments: Unit of measure = number of FIPs. G: 1 O: 1 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: FIPs



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

DAQPS Tr3 - Tribal Programs: Approve CAA eligibility determinations under the Tribal Authority Rule.									
Comments: Unit of measure = number of eligibility determinations approved.									
Farget will be sum of Regional commitments									
G: 1	O: 1	S:	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Numerical Units: approved deter.									
OAQPS Tr4 - Tri of air quality on tl			umber of tribes t	hat are participat	ing in regulato	ry management			
Comments: Uni	t of measure :	= number of tribe	es.						
Target will be sur	m of Regional	commitments							
G: 1	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp: 463	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Numerical	Units: trib	oes							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

DAQPS Tr5 - Tribal Programs: Deliver training assistance to develop tribal expertise and abilities.									
Comments: Unit of measure = number of tribes assisted.									
Target will be sum of Regional Commitments									
G: 1	0:1	S: 1	ST:	Grp: 463	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Numerical	Units: trib	es assisted							
OAQPS Tr7 - Trik	oal Programs:	Increase the nu	umber of tribes	seeking redesign	ation.				
Comments: Unit Target will be sur			es.						
G: 1	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Numerical	Units: trib	es							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

ORIA IE1 - Track and report accomplishments by asthma, ETS, TfS, and radon grantees.								
Comments: The expected commitment from the Regions is "Yes" we agree to track and report accomplishments by asthma, ETS, TfS, and radon grantees.								
G: 1	O: 2	S:	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Yes/No Units: Unknown								
ORIA IE2 - Help	schools imple	ment TfS.						
Comments: The	expected cor	mmitment from th	ne Regions is "Y	es" we agree to	help schools in	mplement TfS.		
•				0				
G: 1	O: 2	S:	ST:	Grp: 116	Apg: 2	PM: R08		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Yes/No Units: Unknown								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

ORIA IE3 - Encourage testing in homes, schools, and buildings for radon. Comments: The expected commitment from the Regions is "Yes" we agree to encourage testing in homes, schools, and buildings for radon. O: 2 S: ST: PM: G: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Yes/No Units: Unknown ORIA IE4 - Encourage homes, schools, buildings to mitigate for radon when found. Comments: The expected commitment from the Regions is "Yes" we agree to encourage mitigation for radon when found in homes, schools, buildings. G: 1 0:2 S: ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Yes/No Units: Unknown



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

ORIA IE5 - Encourage residential radon testing as part of real estate transactions.								
Comments: The expected commitment from the Regions is "Yes" we agree to encourage residential radon testing as part of real estate transactions.								
G: 1	O: 2	S:	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:	Managing Office:							
Datatype: Yes/No Units: Unknown								
ORIA IE6 - Award	d and oversee	State Indoor Ra	adon Grants (SI	RG).				
Comments: The Radon Grants (S		mmitment from tl	he Regions is "\	Yes" we agree to	award and ove	ersee State Indoor		
G: 1	O: 2	S:	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: Un	known						



Datatype: Yes/No

Units: Unknown

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

ORIA RAD1 - Provide technical support to state programs that regulate radiation remediation. Comments: The expected commitment from the Regions is "Yes" we agree to provide technical support to state programs that regulate radiation remediation. S: ST: PM: G: 1 0:4 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Yes/No Units: Unknown ORIA RAD2 - Participate in radiological response exercises. Comments: The expected commitment from the Regions is "Yes" we agree to participate in radiological response exercises. G: 1 O: 4 S: 2 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

ORIA RAD3 - Serve as local point of contact and disseminate information on the national monitoring system. Comments: The expected commitment from the Regions is "Yes" we agree to serve as local point of contact and disseminate information on the national monitoring system. ST: G: 1 0:4 S: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Yes/No Units: Unknown OTAQ1 - Work with OTAQ to help states to implement voluntary emission control retrofit programs for existing heavy-duty diesel engines and school buses. Comments: The expected commitment from the Regions is "Yes" we agree to work with OTAQ to implement..." G: 1 O: 1 S: ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Datatype: Yes/No Units: each

NPM: OECA

CAA01.a - Target: The Compliance Monitoring Strategy specifies full compliance evaluations (FCEs) at 50% of Title V majors.

Comments: Commitment: The number of full compliance evaluations (FCEs) at Title V majors,



Datatype: Numerical

Units: PCEs

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$ Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS G: 5 0:1 S: 3 ST: Grp: 291 Apg: 13 PM: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Evaluation CAA01.b - Target: The CMS specifies FCEs at 20% of the universe of "80% synthetic minors" and other sources (as appropriate). Comments: Commitment: FCEs at "80% synthetic minors" and other sources broken out by region and state. G: 5 0:1 S: 3 ST: Grp: 291 Apg: 13 PM: Managing Office: Units: FCEs Datatype: Numerical CAA01.c - Target: Partial Compliance Evaluations (PCEs). Comments: Commitment: The number of PCEs by Region. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: 291 Apg: 13 PM: Managing Office:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

CAA01.d - Target: State negotiated PCEs. Comments: Commitment: The number of state negotiated PCEs by state. S: 3 ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: PCEs CAA02 - Performance Benchmark: Regions should ensure that 100% of the delegated agencies report the compliance results of all FCEs and negotiated PCEs (as appropriate) into AFS as soon as practicable after a compliance determination is made. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: 291 Apg: 13 PM: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: FCE Results



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

CAA04 - Target: Regions should negotiate facility-specific CMS plans with 100% of delegated agencies, periodically evaluate progress, and work with delegated agencies to revise the plans as necessary. Comments: Commitments: Percent of delegated agency universe covered; provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark. ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: plans CAA05 - Guidance: In addition to the investigations covered by the PSD/NSR Priority, regions should report all investigations initiated and completed in AFS, and identify the targeted air program(s). Comments: Projection: 100% for reporting, but no specified target for the number of investigations that should be initiated. Projections should be provided by air program (e.g. MACT, NSPS); provide an explanation if no activity is projected in this area. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Units: Reporting Datatype: Percentage



G: 5

Managing Office:

Datatype: Percentage

O: 1

S: 3

Units: Applications

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

CAA06 - Performance Benchmark: Regions and delegated states should report 100% of the stack tests and the results (pass/fail) in AFS when a compliance determination has been made. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark. ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: Stack tests CAA07 - Performance Benchmark: Regions should review and comment on compliance and enforcement provisions of at least 5% of new Title V permit applications, and all permit renewals that have current or pending enforcement actions. Comments: Exceptions: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark.

ST:

Non-Commitment Indicator

Grp:

Apg:

PM:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

CAA08 - Performance Benchmark: Review 50% of the Title V annual certifications. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark. ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: Non-Commitment Indicator Managing Office: Datatype: Percentage Units: Certifications CAA09 - Performance Benchmark: Report the results of 100% of certification reviews consistent with CMS and the MDRs identified for the program (i.e. date due, date received, deviations, date reviewed, compliance status for reporting period). Note: performance metrix; no commitment number is reported. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: Cert. Reviews



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

CAA10 - Performance Benchmark: Regions should include evaluations of CFCs and other ozone depleting substances (ODS) as part of routine FCEs to the extent the regulations apply. This does not apply to states since this program is not delegable.

since this progra			ine extent the re	guiations apply.	This does not	apply to states
Comments: Ex	ception: Prov	ride an explanatio	on if the region v	vill not meet the b	enchmark.	
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment			. 4 9.	
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: E	Evaluations				
CAA11.a - Guid	ance: Region	s should project	the number of R	isk Management	Plans to be co	onducted.
Comments: Pro	ojection: Num	nber of RMP insp	ections.			
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment	t Indicator			
Datatype: Numerica	al Units: F	RPMs				



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

CAA11.b - Guidance: Regions should project the number of 68.220 Audits to be conducted. Comments: Projection: Number of completed 68.220 Audits completed. ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: Non-Commitment Indicator Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Audits CAA12 - Performance Benchmark: Regions should evaluate and bring to closure 100% of any self-disclosures received by a region consistent with the national policy. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Units: Self-Disclosure Datatype: Percentage



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

CAA13.a - Performance Benchmark: Federal Case Backlog--Regions should settle or litigate cases issued in years prior to FY 2005. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark. ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Yes/No Units: Cases CAA13.b - Performance Benchmark: Regions should ensure investigation and issuance of appropriate action for any open tips, complaints, or referrals received by EPA. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region cannot meet the benchmark. G: 5 O: 1 ST: PM: S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Yes/No Units: Tips



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

CAA13.c - Performance Benchmark: Region should develop, file, prosecute, and/or settle outstanding judicial and administrative actions. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region cannot meet the benchmark. ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Yes/No Units: Outstanding Act CAA14 - Performance Benchmark: Regions should exercise EPA's 1997 clarified penalty authority against Federal agencies for CAA violations in appropriate circumstances. Note: performance metric; no commitment number is reported. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not exercise the 1997 clarified penalty authority against Federal agencies in appropriate circumstances in the regional comment field. Grp: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator

Units: Penalty Auth.

Datatype: Text



Datatype: Percentage

Units: Agreements

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

CAA15 - Performance Benchmark: Regions should report 100% of MDRs accurately and in a timely manner in AFS consistent with the HPV Policy, and ensure that delegated agencies do the same. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark in the regional G: 5 0:1 S: 3 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Non-Commitment Indicator Managing Office: Units: MDRs Datatype: Percentage CAA16 - Performance Benchmark: Regions should ensure that delegated agencies have written agreements to provide complete, accurate, and timely data consistent with the Agency policies and ICR. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if delegated agencies do not have written agreements to provide complete, accurate, and timely data consistent with the Agency policies and the ICR in the regional comment field. ST: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: PM: Apg: Managing Office:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

CAA17 - Performance Benchmark: Regions and delegated agencies should enter all MDRs in AFS consistent with the agency policies and the ICR. If for some reason a delegated agency does not agree to enter the MDRs, the region is responsible for ensuring that the data is entered into AFS in a timely manner.

Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark.

If the region is responsible for entering state/local/tribal data identify the delegated Agency that has not agreed to do the data entry in the regional comment field.

G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Percentag	e Units: MI	DRs							
CAA18 - Performance Benchmark: Regions should evaluate annually whether compliance monitoring and enforcement commitments were met, and if not, why not. Regions should evaluate how these commitments compare to previous years.									
Comments: Exc	ception: Provid	de an explanatior	n if the region w	ill not meet the be	enchmark.				
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Percentag	e Units: Co	ommitments							



Datatype: Numerical

Units: # of inspection

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

CAA19 - Target: Regions should conduct in-depth evaluations of delegated programs consistent with CMS. Comments: Commitment: At a minimum, the region should conduct one in-depth evaluation of a delegated program. The region should identify the delegated agency in the regional comment field. S: 3 ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Delegated Prog. CWA01 - Performance Benchmark: Region and states must inspect 100% of the CWA majors per year in each state or the equivalent coverage of a combination of majors and minor priority facilities. Comments: Projection: Provide a break out of the inspection numbers by region and state. Provide an explanation if the regions and states will not meet the 100% inspection coverage requirement in the regional comments field. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: 291 Apg: 13 PM: Managing Office:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Data Source: BAS

CWA03 - Guidance: Regions should conduct a sufficient number of oversight inspections to ensure the integrity of each state's compliance monitoring program.

Comments: Projection: Number of oversight inspections to be conducted per state; provide a detailed

explanation if no				ea.	otato, provide t	a detailed
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:
Managing Office:						
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Ins	spections				
CWA04 - Guidan expand resource additional sludge investment in this	s conducting I inspections a	piosolids/sludge t the expense of	inspections. The other CWA con	nerefor, regions we e activities shoul	ho are plannin	ng to conduct
Comments: Pro	jection: Numb	er of biosolids/s	ludge inspection	ns to be conducte	ed per state.	
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator			
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Ins	spections				



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

CWA05 - Performance Benchmark: Regions and states should annually inspect 100% of the POTWs with approved pretreatment programs in both approved and unapproved states.								
Comments: benchmark.	Comments: Projection: Provide a regional and state breakout and an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark.							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office	:							
Datatype: Nume	rical Unit	s: Inspections						
CWA06 - Per in their discha			luct field investig	ations at 100% of	the SIUs with v	iolations identif	ed	
Comments:	Exception: P	rovide an expla	nation if the regi	on will not meet th	ne benchmark.			
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office	:							
Datatype: Perce	Datatype: Percentage Units: Investigation							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

CWA08 - Guidance: Project the number of regional (and state as appropriate) investigations in approved and non-approved pretreatment programs.

Comments: Projection: Number of investigations reported by region and state; provide an explanation if no activity projected in this area.

G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment In	ndicator					
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Inv	estigation						
CWA09 - Guidan	ce: Project the	e number of wetla	ands inspection	s to be conducte	d in each fisca	l year.		
Comments: Progarea.	jection: Numb	er of wetlands in	spections; prov	ide an explanatio	n if no activity	projected in this		
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment In	ndicator					
Datatype: Numerical	Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

CWA10 - Guidan	ice: Project the	e number of fede	eral SPCC inspe	ctions.				
Comments: Projection: Number of federal SPCC inspections broken out by region, state, and tribe.								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator					
Datatype: Numerical	Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections							
EPCRA01 - Targ Quality inspection						n-site Data		
Comments: Pro	jection: Numb	er of data quality	/ inspections; pr	ovide an explana	ation if below t	he target level.		
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical	Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

EPCRA02 - Target: In the EPRCA 313 program, regions are expected to conduct at least 20 inspections each fiscal year as part of their overall inspection commitment. Comments: Projection: Number of inspections; provide an explanation if below the target level. ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: 291 Apg: 13 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections FED.FAC.01 - Target: Each Region will conduct at least one compliance assistance activity for Federal Facilities that includes information on: 1) EMS implementation and 2)Toxic chemical use and release reductions. Comments: Commitment: Number of compliance assistance activities to be completed will include EMS implementation and toxic chemical use and release reductions; provide an explanation if below the target level. G: 5 O: 1 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Units: CA Activities Datatype: Numerical



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

FED.FAC.02 - Target: Each Region will perform three Environmental Management Reviews (EMRs) at Federal Facilities. Comments: Commitment: Number of EMRs to be performed. Regions should provide an explanation in the regional comment field if the commitment is below the target level. S: 1 ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: EMRs FED.FAC.03 - Target: Each Region will conduct two multi-media inspections, selected through targeting criteria developed by FFEO and the Regions. Regions may substitute four single media inspections in lieu of one multi-media inspection. Comments: Commitment: Number of multi-media inspections, or single media inspections to be conducted; provide an explanation if below the target level. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: 291 Apg: 13 PM: Managing Office: Units: Inspections Datatype: Numerical



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

FEDFAC.04a - Target: Conduct inspections at 20% of Region's universe of Federal Facility RCRA treatment, storage, or disposal facilities, or arrange with an authorized state program that has been approved to carry out RCRA 3007(c) inspections to conduct such inspections.

Comments: Cobelow the target		umber of inspect	ions to be cond	ucted by the region	on; provide an	explanation if		
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical Units: Federal TSDF								
storage, or dispo	osal facilities,		an authorized st	's universe of Feate program that				
Comments: Co explanation if be			universe of insp	ections to be con	ducted by the	region; provide an		
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment	Indicator					
Datatype: Percentage Units: Fed TSDF Univ								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

FEDFAC.04c - Target: Conduct inspections at 20% of Region's universe of Federal Facility RCRA treatment, storage, or disposal facilities, or arrange with an authorized state program that has been approved to carry out RCRA 3007(c) inspections to conduct such inspections.

Comments: Conbelow the target I		mber of inspectio	ons to be condu	cted by the states	s; provide an e	xplanation if
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:						
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Fe	deral TSDF				
FEDFAC.04d - Ta storage, or dispos out RCRA 3007(c	sal facilities, o	r arrange with ar	authorized sta			
Comments: Con explanation if below			niverse of inspe	ctions to be cond	lucted by the s	tates; provide an
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment Ir	ndicator			
Datatype: Percentage	e Units: Fe	d TSDF Univ				



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

FED.FAC.05 - Target: In addition to the RCRA TSDF inspections, perform five single media inspections of Federal Facilities (in addition to any single media inspections conducted under Multi-media inspections above and in addition to the RCRA TSDF inspections). Of these five inspections, at least two should be Clean Water Act inspections.

Comments: Conmedia inspections level.									
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Ins	pections							
FED.FAC.06 - Performance Benchmark: EPA RCRA inspectors shall complete Section 6002 survey forms for 100% of EPA RCRA inspections at Federal Facilities, and return the form to FFEO within two weeks of completing the inspection.									
Comments: Exc comment field.	Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark in the regional comment field.								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment In	ndicator						
Datatype: Percentage	e Units: Foi	m							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

FED.FAC.07 - Performance Benchmark: EPA RCRA inspectors shall give the Section 6002 facility survey	to a
representative at the inspected facility and request their completion of the survey and mailing to FFEO.	

Note: performand	ce metric; no c	ommitment num	ber is reported.				
Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark in the regional comment field.							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator				
Datatype: Percentage Units: Survey Forms							
FED.FAC.08 - Perfollowing the fiscal anticipated on-line that was undertained.	al year in whic e commitment	h the inspection t system (or repo	was performed ort manually is s	, regions must re such system is no	port to FFEO to t available): 1)	hrough the any follow-up	
Note: performand	ce metric; no c	ommitment num	ber is reported.				
Comments: Exc comment field.	Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark in the regional comment field.						
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator				
Datatype: Text Units: follow-up							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

FED.FAC.09 - Target: Participate in at least two activities that support one or more Federal Facilities integrated strategies. These activities may fulfill several other core requirements simultaneously such as conducting inspections, EMRs, or compliance assistance seminars.

Comments: Commitment: Number of integrated strategy activities the Region will participate in; provide an explanation if below the target level.

G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:	Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical Units: Activities									
FIFRA01 - Projec	t Inspection C	ommitment in th	e 'Agriculture-U	se' category					
Comments: Brea	akout by state								
		•	-						
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:	Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator								
Datatype: Numerical	Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

FIFRA02 - Project Inspection commitment in the 'Agricultural-Follow' up category Comments: Breakout by state G: 5 O: 1 ST: PM: S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections FIFRA03 - Project inspection commitment in the 'Nonagricultural-Use' category Comments: Breakout by state G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

FIFRA04 - Project inspection commitment in the 'Nonagricultural-Follow up' category Comments: Breakout by state G: 5 O: 1 ST: PM: S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections FIFRA05 - Project inspection commitment in the 'Experimental Use' category Comments: Breakout by state G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

FIFRA06 - Project inspection commitment in the 'Producing Establishment' category. Comments: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections FIFRA07 - Project inspection commitment in the 'Marketplace' category Comments: Breakout by state G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

FIFRA08 - Proj	FIFRA08 - Project inspection commitment in the 'Imports' category.							
Comments: B	Comments: Breakout by state							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitme	nt Indicator					
Datatype: Numerio	cal Units: I	nspections						
FIFRA09 - Proj	ect inspection	commitment in	the 'Export' ca	tegory.				
Comments: B	reakout by sta	te						
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitme	nt Indicator					
Datatype: Numerio	Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

FIFRA-10 - Project inspection commitment in the 'Certified Applicator Records' category Comments: Breakout by state G: 5 O: 1 ST: PM: S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections FIFRA-11 - Project inspection commitment in the 'Use Restricted Pesticide Dealers' category. Comments: Breakout by state G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

FIFRA-FED1 - Project regional FIFRA inspection commitments. Comments: S: 3 ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 Grp: 291 Apg: 13 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: inspections IMP/EXP01 - Performance Benchmark: Regions will report to the International Compliance Assurance Division all assistance provided to the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection regarding any point of entry into the United States. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark in the regional comment field. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Yes/No Units: Assistance



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

IMP/EXP02 - Performance Benchmark: Regions will review and recommend consent or objection for 100% of

the notifications of intent to import hazardous waste within time periods prescribed under applicable international agreements.								
Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark in the regional comment field.								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator					
Datatype: Percentag	Datatype: Percentage Units: Notifications							
IMP/EXP03 - Per violations of law r referral.								
Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation if the region will not meet the benchmark in the regional comment field.								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator					
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: En	for. Action						

ORBIT Page 54 of 165 January 31, 2006



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

NEPA01 - Performance Benchmark: 70 percent of the significant impacts identified by EPA during the NEPA review of all major proposed Federal actions will be mitigated in order to preserve air and water quality, wetlands, aquatic and terrestrial habitats, and endangered species; to protect Environmental Justice communities; and to prevent degradation of valued environmental resources. Note: performance metric; no commitment number is reported. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation in the comment field if the region will not meet the benchmark. G: 5 O: 2 S: 1 Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: Actions Mitig. NEPA02 - Performance Benchmark: 90 percent of EPA projects subject to NEPA Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement requirement (water tratement facility project and other grants, new source National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits and EPA facilities) result in no significant environmental impact. Note: Performance metric; no commitment number is reported Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation in the regional comment field if the region will not meet the benchmark.

G: 5 O: 2 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM:

Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator

Datatype: Percentage Units: NEPA projects



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

remedial action a	OSRE-01 - Each year through 2008, reach a settlement or take an enforcement action before the start of a remedial action at 90 percent of Superfund sites having viable, liable responsible parties other than the federal government.								
Comments:									
G: 3	O: 2	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Percentag	e Units: en	force act.							
OSRE-02 - Each unaddressed tota					Superfund sit	es with			
Comments:									
G: 3	O: 2	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:	Managing Office:								
Datatype: Percentage Units: Cases									



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

DRS_ATY01 -	 Identify the 2 MA(T etandarde to	ha invactinated

Comments: Goa MACT standards MACT standards	during the pr					
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment	Indicator			
Datatype: Text	Units: MA	ACT stand.				
PBS-ATX03 - Null Comments: Goa MACT standards	al 1: Achieve a during the pr	an annual reduc	tion of at least 1			
MACT standards						
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:
Managing Office:						
Datatype: Numerical	Units: inv	estigations				



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-CAFO01 - Number of entities reached through compliance assistance.

Comments:	Goal 1: Protect public health and the environment by minimizing the discharge of pollutants to
surface wate	er from CAFOs. To achieve this goal: 1) ensure that all CAFOs have applied for a NPDES permi
and 2) use a	mix of tools which result in an increase in the rate of compliance with NPDES permits including
NMPs. The i	initial focus is on priority sectors or facilities in priority watersheds.

,				e of compliance vority watersheds.		ermits including
G: 5	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:						
Datatype: Numerio	cal Units: E	Entities				
PBS-CAFO02	- Number of C	AFO inspections				
surface water f and 2) use a m	rom CAFOs. This of tools which	To achieve this g th result in an ind	oal: 1) ensure tl crease in the rat	nt by minimizing that all CAFOs have of compliance wority watersheds.	ve applied for a with NPDES pe	a NPDES permi
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:
Managing Office:						
Datatype: Numerio	cal Units: ir	nspections				



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

	PBS-CAFO03 - # of regional CAFO compliance and enforcement implementation plans developed by April 1, 2005.(covers both Goal 1 &2)							
Comments: Goal 2: Assist states in enhancing capacity of their CAFO programs.								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment	Indicator					
Datatype: Numerica	I Units: Im	p. Plan						
PBS-CAFO04 - Number of joint EPA/state joint CAFO inspections								
Comments: Goal 2: Assist states in enhancing capacity of CAFO program.								

ST:

Managing Office:

G: 5

Datatype: Numerical

O: 1

Units: inspections

S: 3

Grp: 291

Apg: 13

PM:



Datatype: Numerical

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-CSO01 - Number of permitted (CSOs addressed through an	i action that will result in an	i enforceable long
term control plan (LTCP).	_		_

Comments: Goal 1: By the end of FY07, 65% of all permitted CSOs have an approved LTCP with an enforceable schedule that will result in compliance with technology and water quality-based requirements or are addressed thrugh an action that will result in compliance with technology and water quality based requirements.								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical	Units: CS	O permit						

PBS-CSO02 - Number of federal CSO actions that will result in an enforceable LTCP at high priority CSOs (90% of '05 target for Goal #1)

Comments: Goal 2: Between FY'05 and the end of FY'07, EPA will target at least 90% of federal CSO enforcement actions at high priority CSOs. High priority CSOs are those with discharges that impact sensitive areas, are located in EJ areas, or have a significant environmental or human health impact.

G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: Apg: PM:

Managing Office:

Units: Enforce act.



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-CSO03 - Number of outfalls at permitted CSOs evaluated and addressed that are located within 1 mile upstream of a surface drinking water intake. ('05)

Comments: Goal 3: By the end of FY'07, evaluate and address discharges at 100% of CSO outfalls that are located within one mile upstream of a surface drinking water intake.

located withi	n one mile ups	stream of a sur	face drinking wa	ater intake.			
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office	e:						
Datatype: Num	erical Units	s: CSOs					
approved LT been planne	CP with an en d or initiated.)	forceable sche	dule or where a	tance in '05. (*Pon action will resu	ılt in an enforcea	ble LTCP has	not
will not have technology-b	an approved based and wat	LTCP with an e er quality base	enforceable sch	ance assistance edule that will ult of the Clean Wa esult.	imately result in	compliance wit	th the
G: 5	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office	e:						
Datatype: Num	erical Units	s: Facilities					



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-CSO06 - Develop and implement plan for capturing outcomes for any planned CSO compliance assistance activity.

Comments: Goal 6: Between FY'05 and the end of FY'07, increase the understanding of environmental requirements, improve environmental management practices, and planned or achieved reduction, treatment, or elimination of pollutants as a result of receiving compliance assistance from EPA.

elimination of pol	lutants as a re	esult of receiving	compliance ass	sistance from EP	۹.	
G: 5	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment II	ndicator			
Datatype: Numerical	Units: CA	plan				
PBS-CSO07 - Reunderstanding, in creatment, or elim	nproved envir	onmental manag				
G: 5	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator			
Datatype: Numerical	Units: CA	activities				



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-MNP02 - Number of investigations at phosphoric acid mineral processing facilities.

Comments:	Goal 2: Ensure that all	twenty baseline faci	lities in the phos	phoric acid sub-s	sector are in	
compliance o	r are addressed throug	h settlement, by filed	d civil action, or r	eferral to DOJ for	or filing and/or are	
subject to an	order to assess a subs	tantial hazard and/o	r address any im	minent and subs	stantial endangerme	∍nt.

G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:
Managing Office:						
Datatype: Numerical	Units: inv	estigations				
PBS-MNP03 - De mining sectors rai				n-phosphoric aci	d mineral prod	essing and
Comments: Goa compliance or are subject to an order	e addressed th	rrough settlemer	nt, by filed civil a	ction, or referral	to DOJ for filin	
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment Ir	ndicator			
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: Lis					



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

PBS-MNP04 - Number of investigations in the non-phosphoric acid mineral processing and mining sectors. Comments: Goal 2: Ensure that all twenty baseline facilities in the phosphoric acid sub-sector are in compliance or are addressed through settlement, by filed civil action, or referral to DOJ for filing and/or are subject to an order to assess a substantial hazard and/or address any imminent and substantial endangerment. G: 5 S: 3 ST: O: 1 Grp: 291 PM: Apg: 13 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: investigations PBS-MNP05 - Number of investigations at mining facilities. Comments: Goal 4: Assess compliance at five mining facilities, evaluate these five facilities to determine whether a potential imminent and substantial endangerment exists, and take appropriate action to protect human health and the environment. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Units: investigations Datatype: Numerical



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-NSR02 - By the end of FY'05, with respect to unreviewed responses to information requests from coal-fired power plants, the regions, with guidance from the national priority team, should ascertain the subject source's compliance with information requests and its overall compliance with the NSR/PSD program.

Comments: Goal: Through the NSR/PSD priority, by the end of FY'07, EPA will either be litigating against or settling with companies that represent a total of 75% of the generating capacity of the nation's coal-fired power generating facilities

generating facilities								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: Inf	o Req						
coal-fired power	PBS-NSR03 - By the end of FY'05, after evaluating all unreviewed responses to information requests from coal-fired power plants, the regions and the national priority team will identify companies (and the percentage of generating capacity they represent) that are not under investigation for NSR/PSD.							
Comments: Goal: Through the NSR/PSD priority, by the end of FY'07, EPA will either be litigating against or settling with companies that represent a total of 75% of the generating capacity of the nation's coal-fired power generating facilities								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Yes/No Units: Info req								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-NSR04 - Regions nominate and report three sectors as potential NSR/PSD candidates.								
Comments: Goal: Through the NSR/PSD priority, by the end of FY'07, EPA will either be litigating against or settling with companies that represent a total of 75% of the generating capacity of the nation's coal-fired power generating facilities								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Se	ctors						
PBS-NSR05 - Nu sectors. Regions candidates sector	are expected							
Comments: Goal: Through the NSR/PSD priority, by the end of FY'07, EPA will either be litigating against or settling with companies that represent a total of 75% of the generating capacity of the nation's coal-fired power generating facilities								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical Units: inspection								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-PR01 - Case teams develop case management plans. Teams assigned 8/1/04; draft plans developed

9/1/04; plans approved/finalized 9/30/04.								
Comments:								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator					
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: ca	se plans						
PBS-PR02 - Tear team product 9/1/				acking system. 1	Геат assignec	l 7/1/04; final		
Comments:								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator					
Datatype: Text	Units: Tra	acking System						



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-PR04 - Team prepares for return of the sector to the core program (e.g., training needs, expertise, and data sharing) Team assigned 9/30/04; draft product 3/31/05; final product 9/30/05.							
Comments:							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment	Indicator				
Datatype: Text	Units: Co	ore					
				the number of LA force Deploymen			
Comments: Goal 2: By the end of FY'07, EPA shall ensure that (1) 100% (this is the equivalent of 64 systems) of the major municipal collection systems with an associated total treatment capacity greater than 100mgd and their associated satellite municipal collection systems, and (2) 10% of the municipal collection systems (this is the equivalent of 54 systems) with an associated treatment capacity of greater than 10mgd but less than 100mgd and their associated satellite municipal collections systems currently have, or are on an enforceable schedule to have collection systems of adequate capacity with mechanisms in place to ensure that additional capacity commensurate with the increase in flow is provided.							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office:							
Datatype: Numerica	l Units: SS	SOs					



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-SSO02 - Each Region will need to complete an inventory of its large and medium systems by April 1, 2005.

Comments: Goal 2: By the end of FY'07, EPA shall ensure that (1) 100% (this is the equivalent of 64 systems)

of the major municipal collection systems with an associated total treatment capacity greater than 100mgd and their associated satellite municipal collection systems, and (2) 10% of the municipal collection systems (this is the equivalent of 54 systems) with an associated treatment capacity of greater than 10mgd but less than 100mgd and their associated satellite municipal collections systems currently have, or are on an enforceable schedule to have collection systems of adequate capacity with mechanisms in place to ensure that additional capacity commensurate with the increase in flow is provided.								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: in	ventory						
	PBS-SSO03 - Each Region will need to determine which of the targeted large and medium systems are located in priority watersheds or communities.							
Comments: Goal 2: By the end of FY'07, EPA shall ensure that (1) 100% (this is the equivalent of 64 systems) of the major municipal collection systems with an associated total treatment capacity greater than 100mgd and their associated satellite municipal collection systems, and (2) 10% of the municipal collection systems (this is the equivalent of 54 systems) with an associated treatment capacity of greater than 10mgd but less than 100mgd and their associated satellite municipal collections systems currently have, or are on an enforceable schedule to have collection systems of adequate capacity with mechanisms in place to ensure that additonal capacity commensurate with the increase in flow is provided.								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Yes/No Units: SSO sys.								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-SSO04 - Establish priority schedule by July 1, 2005 for addressing targeted large and medium systems over the next three years.

Comments: Goal 2: By the end of FY'07, EPA shall ensure that (1) 100% (this is the equivalent of 64 systems) of the major municipal collection systems with an associated total treatment capacity greater than 100mgd and their associated satellite municipal collection systems, and (2) 10% of the municipal collection systems (this is the equivalent of 54 systems) with an associated treatment capacity of greater than 10mgd but less than 100mgd and their associated satellite municipal collections systems currently have, or are on an enforceable schedule to have collection systems of adequate capacity with mechanisms in place to ensure that additional capacity commensurate with the increase in flow is provided.

capacity commensurate with the increase in flow is provided.									
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:	Managing Office:								
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: so	hedule							
	PBS-SSO05 - For FY2005, Regions will address one-fourth of the number of medium systems (including satellites) they committed to addressing for 05/07 in the workforce deployment strategy.								
Comments: Goal 2: By the end of FY'07, EPA shall ensure that (1) 100% (this is the equivalent of 64 systems) of the major municipal collection systems with an associated total treatment capacity greater than 100mgd and their associated satellite municipal collection systems, and (2) 10% of the municipal collection systems (this is the equivalent of 54 systems) with an associated treatment capacity of greater than 10mgd but less than 100mgd and their associated satellite municipal collections systems currently have, or are on an enforceable schedule to have collection systems of adequate capacity with mechanisms in place to ensure that additional capacity commensurate with the increase in flow is provided.									
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Numerical	Units: sy	rstems							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-STW01 - Number of federal 2005 stormwater construction inspections.

Comments: Goal 1: Protect public health and the environment by minimizing the discharge of polluted storm water to surface waters. The focus will be on priority sectors with the potential to significantly impact human nealth or the env. or activities in priority watersheds.						
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:

Managing Office:

Datatype: Numerical Units: inspections

PBS-STW02 - Number of federal stormwater non-construction industry inspections.

Comments: Goal 1: Protect public health and the environment by minimizing the discharge of polluted storm water to surface waters. The focus will be on priority sectors with the potential to significantly impact human health or the env. or activities in priority watersheds.

G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: 291 Apg: 13 PM:

Managing Office:

Datatype: Numerical Units: inspections



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

PBS-STW03 - Number of federal 2005 stormwater MS4 Phase 1 inspections. Comments: Goal 1: Protect public health and the environment by minimizing the discharge of polluted storm water to surface waters. The focus will be on priority sectors with the potential to significantly impact human health or the env. or activities in priority watersheds. S: 3 ST: G: 5 O: 1 Grp: 291 PM: Apg: 13 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: inspections PBS-STW04 - Nuymber of MS4 Phase 1 audits. Comments: Goal 1: Protect public health and the environment by minimizing the discharge of polluted storm water to surface waters. The focus will be on priority sectors with the potential to significantly impact human health or the env. or activities in priority watersheds. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: audits



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-STW05 - Number of federal 2005 joint training stormwater inspections								
Comments: Goal 2: Assist states, localities and tribes in the development and enhancement of their storm water programs by conducting 10 training courses and 350 joint inspections annually.								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical	Units: insp	pections						
PBS-STW06 - Nu	ımber of feder	al 2005 stormwa	ater training sess	sions for states, t	ribes, and loca	alities.		
Comments: Goal 2: Assist states, localities and tribes in the development and enhancement of their storm water programs by conducting 10 training courses and 350 joint inspections annually.								
G: 5 O: 1 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM:								
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical Units: training sess.								



Datatype: Yes/No

Units: verify schools

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

PBS-STW07 - Number of compliance assistance workshops/training sessions. Comments: Goal 2: Assist states, localities and tribes in the development and enhancement of their storm water programs by conducting 10 training courses and 350 joint inspections annually. S: 1 ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: training sess PBS-TB01 - By March 30, 2005, OECA and the Regions will verify number and locations for all tribal schools and universities in Indian country. Comments: (Currently, there are 219 tribal schools nationally) For more information: Tribal Colleges link: http://www.aihec.org/college.htm and http://www.oiepmis.bia.edu/ If the Regional number of schools/universities is less than the number shown, Region must identify the school(s) (by name and location) that should be removed. If the Regional number of schools/universities is greater than the number shown, the Region must identify the school(s) to be added by name and location. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-TB02 - By September 30, 2005, OECA and the Regions will develop an accurate inventory of the universe

of regulated facilities (including federal facilities) in Indian country.								
Comments:								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: U	niverse of fac						
authorization to didentified tribal in: Comments: Yes	PBS-TB03 - By January 1, 2005, regions will have worked with all tribes that previously requested authorization to determine whether it is appropriate for EPA to authorize tribal inspectors, and will have identified tribal inspectors ready for authorization (training completed). Comments: Yes - tribe has requested authorization. No - tribe has not requested authorization.							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Yes/No	Units: Tr	ibal Inspect						



Datatype: Numerical

Units: # trained

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

PBS-TB04 - By September 30, 2005, regions will have entered into formal written agreements with 100% of tribes for which authorization is appropriate. Comments: Yes - region has entered into formal agreement with an authorized tribe(s). No- a region does not have an authorized tribe. O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: G: 5 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Yes/No Units: Tribal Cred. PBS-TB05 - By January 1, 2006, tribal inspectors who have completed all training requirements, and for whom authorization has been deemed appropriate, will have received their federal inspector credentials. Comments: The objective is to meet the national target (7) through cumulative bids. Currently there are 28 trained inspectors and the target goal is 50 by FY07. [7 in FY05, 7 in FY06, and 8 in FY08] The region must provide the opening bid. ST: G: 5 0:1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - '

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-TB06 - By September 30, 2005, OECA and the Regions will provide compliance assistance to 10 percent of tribal schools. Regional Compliance Assistance Coordinators will work with program offices to report into ICIS the outcomes of any direct compliance assistance activities to these systems.

Comments: Targets for 3 emphasis areas: Regions may elect to participate in any or all of the emphasis areas in the tribal priority - schools, drinking water, or open dumps. A regions's bid indicates participation in a targeted

The objective is to meet the national target through cumulative commitments. G: 5 O: 1 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Numeric PBS-TB09 - By September 30, 2005, Regions will inspect 10 percent of the universe for one or more of these programs, (AHERA, drinking water, lead based paint, pesticides, or disposal of spent laboratory chemicals), and will conduct follow-up activities as necessary to address any identified non-compliance. Comments: Targets for 3 emphasis areas: Regions may elect to participate in any or all of the emphasis areas in the tribal priority - schools, drinking water, or open dumps. A region's bid indicates participation in a targeted area. The objective is to meet the national target through cumulative commitments. Schools: This includes BIA schools, mission schools, tribal schools, state schools primarily serving tribal members, and community controlled schools. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: PM: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Numeric



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-TB11 - By September 30, 2005, OECA and the Regions will provide compliance assistance to 100 percent of tribal public water systems and will report the number of compliance assistance activities by category (e.g., phone calls, meetings) to ICIS.

	ty - schools, d	rinking water, or	open dumps. A	A region's bid indi	cates participa	ne emphasis areas ation in a targeted
The CA activities work with their co						gest that Regions
G: 5	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:						
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Nu	ımeric				
PBS-TB13 - Regi systems through: actions.						
	ty - schools, d	rinking water, or	open dumps. A	A region's bid indi	cates participa	ne emphasis areas ation in a targeted
If a region does No baseline, Region more years)						FY03 as the as in SNC for 2 or
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator			
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Nu	ımeric				



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - '

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-TB14 - Regions will address 1 or more of the systems which are in SNC for nitrates and microbial rules, (Surface Water Treatment Rule and Total Coliform Rule) through: data corrections, meeting return to

compliance definitions or by issuing enforcement actions. Comments: Targets for 3 emphasis areas: Regions may elect to participate in any or all of the emphasis areas in the tribal priority - schools, drinking water, or open dumps. A region's bid indicates participation in a targeted area. The objective is to meet the national target through cumulative commitments. FY03 baseline: Region 6 has 9 systems in SNC; Region 8 has 4 in SNC; Region 9 has 13 in SNC. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Numeric PBS-TB15 - Regions will increase by 5 percent (a minimum of one additional), the number of violations for nitrates and microbial rules, (Surface Water Treatment Rule and Total Coliform Rule) addressed in 05. If a region has a reduction in the number of such violations from FY03, all will be addressed. Comments: Targets for 3 emphasis areas: Regions may elect to participate in any or all of the emphasis areas in the tribal priority - schools, drinking water, or open dumps. A region's bid indicates participation in a targeted area. The objective is to meet the national target through cumulative commitments. FY03 Baseline information: Region 4 - 5 violations; Region 5 - 54 violations; Region 6 - 31 violations; Region 8 -29 violations; Region 9 - 67 violations; Region 10 - 12 violations. All other Regions had 0 violations in FY03. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: PM: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Numeric



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PBS-TB16 - By September 30, 2005, OECA and the Regions will provide compliance and technical assistance to at least 1 percent of the tribes. Regional Compliance Assistance Coordinators will work with program

offices to report into ICIS the outcomes of any direct compliance assistance activities provided to these systems.								
in the tribal priori	Comments: Targets for 3 emphasis areas: Regions may elect to participate in any or all of the emphasis areas in the tribal priority - schools, drinking water, or open dumps. A region's bid indicates participation in a targeted area. The objective is to meet the national target through cumulative commitments.							
1 % in FY05, 2%	in FY06 and	FY07, with the d	efault value of 1	(numeric) for re	gions with less	s than 100 tribes.		
G: 5	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Nu	umeric						
PBS-TB18 - Reg landfills and oper				t a 1% increase i	n the number	of solid waste		
	ty - schools, d	Irinking water, or	open dumps. A	A region's bid ind	icates participa	ne emphasis areas ation in a targeted		
1% in FY05, 2% in FY06 and FY07, with a default value of 1 (numeric) for regions with less than 100 open dumps. The baseline data for FY03 is being assessed nation-wide.								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator								
Datatype: Numerical Units: Numeric								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

•		•						
RCRA01.a - In	spect ANNL	JALLY: State a	nd local facilities	identified under S	SWDA 3007(d).			
Target: 100%	of Universe.							
	Comments: Commitment: Number of regional inspections broken out by state; provide an explanantion if below the target level.							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numeri	ical Units	s: Facilities						
RCRA01.b - In	spect ANNU	JALLY: State a	nd local facilities	identified under S	SWDA 3007(d).			
Target: 100%	of Universe.							
Comments: Commitment: Percent of universe of regional inspections broken out by state; provide an explanantion if below the target level.								
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator								
Datatype: Percentage Units: Fac Univ								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

RCRA01.c - Inspect ANNUALLY: State and local facilities identified under SWDA 3007(d). Target: 100% of Universe. Comments: Commitment: Number of state inspections broken out by state; provide an explanantion if below the target level. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Units: Facilities Datatype: Numerical RCRA01.d - Inspect ANNUALLY: State and local facilities identified under SWDA 3007(d). Target: 100% of Universe. Comments: Commitment: Percent of universe of state inspections broken out by state; provide an explanantion if below the target level. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator

Units: Fac Univ

Datatype: Percentage



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

RCRA02.a - Federal Monitoring: Inspect ONCE EVERY TWO YEARS: Treatment, storage, and disposal facilities under SWDA 3007(e). Target: 50% of universe. Comments: Commitment: Number of regional inspections broken out by state; provide an explanation if below the target level. G: 5 0:1 S: 3 ST: Grp: 291 Apg: 13 PM: Managing Office: Units: TSDFs Datatype: Numerical RCRA02.b - Federal Monitoring: Inspect ONCE EVERY TWO YEARS: Treatment, storage, and disposal facilities under SWDA 3007(e). Target: 50% of universe. Comments: Commitment: Percent of universe of regional inspections broken out by state; provide an explanation if below the target level. ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: TSD Univ.



Datatype: Percentage

Units: TSDF Univ.

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

RCRA02.c - State Monitoring: Inspect ONCE EVERY TWO YEARS: Treatment, storage, and disposal facilities under SWDA 3007(e). Target: 50% of universe. Comments: Commitment: Number of state inspections broken out by state; provide an explanation if below the target level. G: 5 0:1 S: 3 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Units: TSDFs Datatype: Numerical RCRA02.d - State Monitoring: Inspect ONCE EVERY TWO YEARS: Treatment, storage, and disposal facilities under SWDA 3007(e). Target: 50% of universe. Comments: Commitment: Percent of universe of state inspections broken out by state; provide an explanation if below the target level. ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

,		.ag , . az					
RCRA03.a - Fe 3007(e).	ederal Monitorii	ng: Inspect ONC	E EVERY THR	EE YEARS: Land	d disposal facili	ties under SWDA	
Target: 33% of	universe.						
	Comments: Commitment: Number of regional inspections broken out by state; provide an explanation if below the target level.						
0.5	0.4	0.2	CT.	C 204	An 42	DM.	
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:	
Managing Office:							
Datatype: Numeric	cal Units: L	DFs					
RCRA03.b - Fe 3007(e).	ederal Monitorii	ng: Inspect ONC	E EVERY THRE	EE YEARS: Land	disposal facilit	ies under SWDA	
Target: 33% of	universe.						
Comments: Commitment: Percent of universe of regional inspections broken out by state; provide an explanation if below the target level.							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator							
Datatype: Percent	Datatype: Percentage Units: LDF Univ.						



Datatype: Percentage

Units: LDF Univ.

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

RCRA03.c - State Monitoring: Inspect ONCE EVERY THREE YEARS: Land disposal facilities under SWDA 3007(e). Target: 33% of universe. Comments: Commitment: Number of state inspections broken out by state; provide an explanation if below the target level. G: 5 S: 3 ST: 0:1 Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Units: LDFs Datatype: Numerical RCRA03.d - State Monitoring: Inspect ONCE EVERY THREE YEARS: Land disposal facilities under SWDA 3007(e). Target: 33% of universe. Comments: Commitment: Percent of universe of state inspections broken out by state; provide an explanation if below the target level. ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

RCRA04.a - Federal Monitoring - Generators (LQGs): Target: Annually inspect at least 6 generators per state. Comments: Commitment: Number of regional generator inspections by state; provide an explanation if below the target level. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: 291 Apg: 13 Managing Office: Units: LQGs Datatype: Numerical RCRA04.b - State Monitoring: Generators (LQGs): Target: Annually inspect 20% of the large quantity generator universe except for those state that have more than 1000 LQGs. State with more than 1000LQGs may negotiate with the Region the appropriate number to inspect per year as long as a minimum of 200 LQGs are inspected and all LQGs are inspected within five years. Comments: Commitment: Number of state inspections broken out by state; provide an explanation if below the target level. S: 3 ST: G: 5 0:1 Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: Units: LQGs Datatype: Numerical



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

Target: Annually inspect 20% of the large quantity generator universe except for those states that have more than 1000 LQGs. States with more than 1000 LQGs may negotiate with the Region the appropriate number to inspect per year as long as a minimum of 200 LQGs are inspected and all LQGs are inspected within five years.

Comments: Cor if below the targe		rcent of universe	of state inspec	tions broken out	by state; provi	de an explanation
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator			
Datatype: Percentag	e Units: LC	G Univ				
RCRA05 - Guida state) and the nu						
Comments: Pro Facilities; provide					te, Indian Cou	ntry, and Federal
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator			
Datatype: Numerical Units: Facilities						



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

SDWA01 - Projection: Number of sanitary surveys to be conducted by region where the region has direct implementation. Each fiscal year regions should ensure sanitary surveys are performed at one third of the public water systems that are on a three year survey cycle and at one fifth of the public water systems that are on a five year sanitary survey cycle.

Comments: Exception: The region is to provide an explanation if the region does not anticipate meeting the one third and one fifth sanitary survey cycle targets in a fiscal year.							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office:							
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Su	rvey					
by 100% of the la the small commun	SDWA02 - Projection: On a quarterly basis, regions are to explain the status of lead response actions taken by 100% of the large and medium community and non-transient non-community water systems, and 85% of the small community and non-transient non-community water system, whose 90th percentile tap samples have exceeded the lead action level for the past three consecutive monitoring periods.						
Comments: Exc not anticipate me			e an explanation	n in its annual wo	orkplan commit	ments if it does	
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator							
Datatype: Text	Units: Qtr	ly Reprt					



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

SDWA03.a - Performance Benchmark for Microbial SNCs: Regions/States and tribes with primacy are to address 100% of microbial SNCs at large and medium public water systems before they become exceptions.

Comments: Exception: The region is to provide an explanation if it doesn't plan to address 100% of the microbial SNCs at large and medium public water systems.

G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Percentag	ge Units: SN	ICs						
0D\\\\ 00 \\ \\ \\ \\	(D	alessade Decise	-/			- (
				pes with primacy ter systems befor				
Comments: Exemicrobial SNCs			e an explanatio	n if they do not pl	an to address	at least 90% of the		
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Percentage Units: SNCs								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Units: SNCs

Datatype: Percentage

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

SDWA04.a - Performance Benchmark for Nitrates SNCs: Regions/States and tribes with primacy are to address 100% of nitrates SNCs at large and medium public water systems (CWS, NTNCWS, and TCNCWS) before they become exceptions.

SDWA04.b - Performance Benchmark: Regions/states and tribes with primacy are to address at least 85% of nitrates SNCs at small public water systems before they become exceptions.

Comments: Exceptions: The regions are to provide an explanation if they do not anticipate addressing 85% of the SNCs at small public water systems.

G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: Apg: PM:

Managing Office:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

SDWA05.a - Performance Benchmark for Lead SNCs: Regions /states and tribes with primacy are to address 100% of lead SNCs initial tap monitoring, optimization of corrosion control, and public education at large and medium community and non-transient non-community water systems before they become exceptions.

Comments: Exception: The region is to provide an explanation in the regional comment field if it anticipates not addressing 100% of SNCs at large and medium public water systems.

G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Percentage	Datatype: Percentage Units: SNCs							
SDWA05.b - Perf SNCs at small co								
Comments: Exc at small commun					ate addressing	85% of lead SNCs		
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:	Managing Office:							
Datatype: Percentage	e Units: SN	ICs						



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

SDWA06.a - Performance Benchmark: Regions/states and tribes with primacy are to address 100% of all

	other chronic SNCs (other than lead) at large and medium community and non-transient non-community water systems before they become exceptions.							
	Comments: Exceptions: The region should explain if it does not plan to address 100% of all other chronic SNCs at large and medium community and non-transient non-community water systems.							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Percentag	e Units: SN	ICs						
SDWA06.b - Perf all other chronic of they become exc	contaminant S							
Comments: Exc chronic contamin	•	•	•	on if it does not a	inticipate addr	essing 85% of all		
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Percentage Units: SNCs								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

SDWA-07 - On a quarterly basis, Regions are to provide an explanation for each SNC at a large or medium system (serving more than 10,000 users and serving between 3,301 and 10,000 users, respectively) that became an exception in the preceding quarter.

Comments: Exception: The region must provide an explanation if it anticipated not meeting this commitment. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Yes/No Units: Reporting TSC01 - Guidance: Project the number of core TSCA Inspections (sections 4,5,8,12,13) Comments: Projection: Number of federal inspections; provide an explanation if no activity projected for this area. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: Grp: 291 Apg: 13 PM: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Inspections



Datatype: Numerical

Units: Inspections

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

TSC02 - Performance Benchmark: Follow-up, as appropriate, 100% of citizen complaints. Comments: Exception: Provide an explanation in the regional comment field if the region will not meet the benchmark. ST: PM: G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: citizen compl. TSC03 - Target: Inspect 33% of the PCB commercial storage and disposal facility universe. Comments: Commitment: Number of inspections. Provide the universe in the regional comment field. G: 5 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: 291 Apg: 13 Managing Office:



G: 5

Managing Office:

Datatype: Numerical

O: 1

S: 3

Units: Inspections

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,
Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

PM:

Apg: 13

TSC04 - Target: less each year.	Conduct insp	pections at 5% of	f the charter sch	nool universe or 2	0 inspections,	which ever is	
Note: To meet t meet the criteria	•	nbers regions ma	y count state in	spections by non-	waiver and wa	aiver states that	
Comments: Commitment: Number of inspections. Provide the charter school universe in the regional comment field and provide an explanation if inspection commitment is below the target level.							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:	
Managing Office:							
Datatype: Numerica	ıl Units: Ir	nspections					
	ls from each l	EA for evaluatio		on authorities (LE ndentify large LE			
Note: To meet t meet the criteria	•	nbers Regions ma	ay count state i	nspections by nor	n-waiver and w	raiver states that	

Comments: Commitment: Number of inspections; provide an explanation below the target level.

ST:

Grp: 291



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

,		ag , . ace				
TSC07 - Target: LEAs each year.	Review past	settlement agree	ements from 199	91-1998, and con	duct follow-up	inspections at 5
Note: To meet the meet the criteria.	ne target num	bers regions may	/ count state ins	pections by non-	waiver and wa	iver states that
Comments: Cor	mmitment: No	umber of inspecti	ions covered; pr	ovide an explana	ation if below th	ne taregt level.
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office:						
Datatype: Numerical	Units: In:	spections				
TSC08 - Target:	Conduct insp	ections at LEAs	targeted for EJ	or children's heal	th concerns.	
Note: To meet the meet the criteria.	•	bers regions may	count state ins	pections by non-	waiver and wa	iver state that
Comments: Commitment: Identify number of LEAs targeted for EJ or children's health concerns. Check against the TSC05, TSC06, and TSC07 commitments. These inspections may be substituted for charter school inspections.						
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator			
Datatype: Numerical Units: LEAs						



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

TSC09.a - Guidance: Regions should determine the appropriate number of Pb 1018 inspections in non-authorized states.

Comments: Projection: Number of federal inspections; provide an explanation if no activity projected in this area.

G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	ndicator					
Datatype: Numerical	Units: ins	pections						
TSC09.b - Guida non-authorized s		should determin	ne the appropria	ite number of 402	2/404 inspectio	ons in		
Comments: Pro	jection: Numb	per of federal ins	spections, provid	de an explanation	if no activity p	orojected.		
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:	Managing Office: Non-Commitment Indicator							
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Ins	pections						



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Objective Code - *, No	n-Commitment FI	ag - *, Public Access	i? - *			24.4 254.55. 27.5		
TSC09.c - Guida states.	ınce: Regions	should determin	ne the appropria	ite number of 406	3 inspections in	n non-authorized		
Comments: Pro area.	ojection: Num	ber of federal ins	spections; provi	de an explanatior	if no activity i	s projected in this		
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:		Non-Commitment I	Indicator					
Datatype: Numerica	I Units: In	spections						
Section 402 insp Comments: Pro no activity project	TSC10 - Guidance: In States without authorized Section 402 programs, regions should conduct targeted Section 402 inspections of training providers and inspect work sites. Comments: Projection: Number of federal inspections. In the regional comment field provide an explanation if no activity projected in this area or if Section 402 inspections in authorized states is the rationale for any trade-offs with the Disclosure Rule or Section 406 inspection commitments.							
G: 5	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 291	Apg: 13	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerica	I Units: In:	spections						
NPM: OPPTS								

097 - Number of PCB Transformers disposed at permitted disposal facilities.

Comments: Number of PCB Transformers disposed at permitted disposal

Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - Objective Code - *, N	· 2005, NPM - *, Non-Commitmer	Repor	Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS				
G: 4	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 465	Apg: 62	PM: 097	
Managing Office:							
Datatype: Numeri	cal Units:	Transformers					
098 - Number	of PCB Large	e Capacitors dis	posed at perm	itted disposal faci	lities.		
Comments: N facilities.	Number of PC	CB Large Capac	itors disposed	at permitted dispo	osal		
		ft of the Regiona ovided in the fina		s indicate that the	e target is still ur	nder development.	
G: 4	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp: 465	Apg: 62	PM: 098	
Managing Office:							
Datatype: Numeri	cal Units:	Capacitors					
1 - Number of	States and T	ribes meeting co	ooperative agre	eement commitme	ents for endang	ered species	
bulletins and d Zeros noted in	evelop a plar the intial dra	n to distribute the	em. al commitment	ommit to review di	-	nder development.	
G: 4	O: 1	S: 1	ST: 2	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office:							
Datatype: Text	Units:	States & Tribes					



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

10 - Number of S resource protection		al pesticide agen	cies meeting co	operative agreen	nent commitme	ents for water		
Annual evaluation Zeros noted in the	Comments: Unit of measure are is the number of States and Tribes. Annual evaluation by Regions. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version							
G: 2	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Sta	ates/Tribes						
11 - Number of in activities.	dividuals cert	ified by EPA, sta	ites, and tribes t	o engage in lead	-based paint a	abatement		
Comments: Unit of measure is the number of individuals certified. Need to address duplication possibilities. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version								
G: 4	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:	Managing Office:							
Datatype: Numerical Units: Certifications								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

12 - Cumulative r professionals.	number of auth	norized state and	l tribal certificati	on and training p	rograms for le	ad-based paint	
Comments: Uni	t of measure is	s the number of	states and tribes	3.			
Zeros noted in th Targets for these				cate that the targ	et is still unde	r development.	
G: 4	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office:							
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Sta	ites/Tribes					
13 - Number of in	ndividuals read	hed through ger	neral lead educa	ation and outreac	h activities.		
Comments: 2/5/ possibility of mov change.	ring toward co	unting number of	f incidences of b	pehavior .			
Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version							
G: 4	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:	
Managing Office:							
Datatype: Numerical Units: Individuals							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

14 - Acres of	property to b	e remediated u	nder issued 761	.61(c) approvals	and 761.61(a) r	eviewed approvals.		
Comments: Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version								
G: 4	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office	e:							
Datatype: Nume	erical Unit	s: acres						
15 - Number outreach acti		dren attending	institutions reac	hed through gen	eral toxic fibers	education and		
	Comments: Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version							
G: 4	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		



Datatype: Numerical

Units: Pounds

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

16 - Number of partnerships established with hospitals and other health facilities to reduce Mercury in waste streams following the process established under the Hospitals for a Healthy Environment (H2E) program. Comments: Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version S: 3 ST: G: 4 O: 1 Grp: PM: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Partnerships 17 - Number of pounds of mercury eliminated by Regional H2E participants. Comments: Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version G: 4 O: 1 S: 3 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office:



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Objective Code - *, Non	24.4 004.00. 27.0									
18 - Number of po	18 - Number of pounds of mercury eliminated by sectors other than Regional H2E participants (i.e. schools).									
Zeros noted in the	Comments: Supported as a measure that can encompass efforts with schools. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version									
G: 4	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
Managing Office:										
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Po	unds								
19 - Number of st respective state of					cal data amon	g their				
Comments: The 2/5/04: Subject to supplied to the re	the availabili gions.	ty of training mat	terials/information	on	act is still undo	r development				
	Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version									
G: 4	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
Managing Office:										
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Pro	ograms								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Units: Facilities

Datatype: Numerical

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

2 - Number of bu	lletin distributi	on points						
training sessions Zeros noted in th	Comments: Major access points may include: county cooperative extension offices, training sessions, Internet, etc Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version							
G: 4	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Bu	Illetin points						
20 - Number of fe	ederal facility	partners impleme	enting P2 projec	ets (EMSs, EPP, o	etc.) with EPA	¿s assistance.		
Comments: The measure is the number of facilities. Present in Region 7, 8, and 9 strategic plans. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version								
G: 5	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

21 - BTUs of energy conserved through pollution prevention efforts.								
Comments: Component of Agency strategic target. Based on NPPR data. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version								
G: 5	O: 2	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical	Units: BT	Us						
22 - Gallons of wa	ater conserve	d through pollution	on prevention ef	forts.				
Comments: Component of Agency strategic target. Based on NPPR data. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version								
G: 5	O: 2	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office:								
Datatype: Numerical	latatype: Numerical Units: Gallons							



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

234 - Pounds of pollution reduced through pollution prevention efforts. Comments: Pounds of pollution reduced through pollution prevention efforts. Unit of measure is the cumulative number of pounds. Component of Agency strategic target. Based on NPPR data. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version G: 5 0:2 S: 2 ST: Grp: 467 PM: 234 Apg: 58 Managing Office: Units: Pounds (Cum) Datatype: Numerical 235 - Dollars saved through pollution prevention efforts. Comments: The meassure is the cumulative number of dollars saved through pollution prevention efforts. Component of Agency strategic target. Based on NPPR data. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version G: 5 O: 2 S: 2 ST: Grp: 467 Apg: 58 PM: 235 Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Dollars (Cum)



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Data Source: BAS Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - ' 3 - Number of entities (States, Tribes, public) receiving technical guidance, education, and training (where appropriate) Comments: individuals, outreach materials Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version ST: PM: G: 4 O: 1 S: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: entities 4 - For all States/Tribes in the Region, report the total number of pesticide incidents reported annually to the State/Tribal public health agencies (or the appropriate entity having jurisdiction over pesticide incident reporting) that involved occupational pesticide exposure or illness. Comments: Unit of measure is # of occupational pesticide incidents reported Realizing limits on State/Tribal reporting requirements and the varying degrees of substantiation, report as conditions permit. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version G: 4 O: 1 S: 1 ST: Grp: PM: Apg: Managing Office:

Units: Incidents

Datatype: Numerical



Datatype: Numerical

Units: Complaints

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

5 - For all States/Tribes in the Region, report the total number of complaints investigated by the State/Tribal Lead Agency for Pesticides that involved alleged occupational pesticide exposure or illness. Comments: Unit of measure is # of complaints investigated. Realizing limits on State/Tribal tracking capabilities, report as conditions permit. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version G: 4 O: 1 S: 1 ST: Grp: PM: Apg: Managing Office: Units: Complaints Datatype: Numerical 6 - For all States/Tribes in the Region, report the total number of complaints investigated by the State/Tribal Lead Agency for Pesticides that involved alleged occupational pesticide exposure or illness and which were associated with an enforcement action for noncompliance with WPS regulations or other FIFRA labeling requirements. Comments: Unit of measure is the # of complaints investigated associated with enforcement action. Realizing limits on State/Tribal tracking capabilities, report as conditions permit Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version G: 4 0:1 S: 1 ST: Grp: PM: Apg: Managing Office:



Datatype: Numerical

Units: Projects

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

7 - For all States/Tribes in the Region, assure that complete C&T accomplishment reporting information required by 40 CFR Part 171.7(d) is submitted via C&T State Plan & Reporting database.									
Comments: Unit of measure is whether or not required reports have been submitted IAW 40 CFR Part 171.7(d). Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version									
G: 4	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									
Datatype: Numerical	Datatype: Numerical Units: Required Report								
8 - 4-6 model agr	icultural field p	projects per Regi	ion over 3 yr pei	riod (1-3 per year	·)				
Comments: Measure is the number of projects. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version									
G: 4	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:									



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

9 - 80 collaboration/outreach activities to foster transition (over 3 years 2005-2007) Comments: Unit of measure is the number of Events. Zeros noted in the intial draft of the Regional commitments indicate that the target is still under development. Targets for these will be provided in the final version S: 1 ST: G: 4 O: 1 PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: Datatype: Numerical Units: Events NPM: OSWER 112 - Number of leaking underground storage tank cleanups completed. Comments: At the end of FY 2004, cumulative total of 317,405 leaking underground storage tank cleanups were completed. This number includes 625 cleanups in Indian Country. G: 3 0:2 S: 2 ST: Grp: 469 Apg: 005 PM: 112 Managing Office: OUST Datatype: Numerical Units: cleanups



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

113	3 - Number	of leaking	underground	storage tank	cleanups	in Indian	Country.
-----	------------	------------	-------------	--------------	----------	-----------	----------

Comments:	By the end o	f FY 2003,	over 590 lea	king undergro	und storage	tank clea	nups were	completed in
Indian Coun	try. Targets h	ave been s	et for region	s 2 through 10).			

G: 3	O: 2	S: 2	ST:	Grp: 418	Apg: 010	PM:

Managing Office: OUST

Datatype: Numerical Units: cleanups

121 - Number of Superfund final site assessment decisions.

Comments: As noted in the FY 2005 National Implementation Guidance, the Superfund remedial program is currently on a different planning cycle with respect to the rest of the Agency. The remedial program has agreed to adjust its schedule to be consistent with the Agency-wide timeline in the future. For the purposes of FY 2005 annual target development, regions are not expected to enter initial draft targets for Superfund remedial program measures until August 3, 2004.

G: 3 O: 2 S: 2 ST: Grp: 469 Apg: 005 PM: 121

Managing Office: OSRTI

Datatype: Numerical Units: assessments



Datatype: Numerical

Datatype: Numerical

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

141 - Number of Superfund construction completions.

Units: completions

Units: sites

Comments: As noted in the FY 2005 National Implementation Guidance, the Superfund remedial program is currently on a different planning cycle with respect to the rest of the Agency. The remedial program has agreed to adjust its schedule to be consistent with the Agency-wide timeline in the future. For the purposes of FY 2005 annual target development, regions are not expected to enter initial draft targets for Superfund remedial program measures until August 3, 2004.

G: 3	O: 2	S: 2	ST:	Grp: 469	Apg: 005	PM: 141
Managing Office: OS	RTI					

151 - Number of Superfund hazardous waste sites with human exposures controlled.

Comments: As noted in the FY 2005 National Implementation Guidance, the Superfund remedial program is currently on a different planning cycle with respect to the rest of the Agency. The remedial program has agreed to adjust its schedule to be consistent with the Agency-wide timeline in the future. For the purposes of FY 2005 annual target development, regions are not expected to enter initial draft targets for Superfund remedial program measures until August 3, 2004.

G: 3	O: 2	S: 2	ST:	Grp: 469	Apg: 005	PM: 151
Managing Office: O	SRTI					



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

152 - Number of Superfund hazardous waste sites with groundwater migration controlled.

Comments: As noted in the FY 2005 National Implementation Guidance, the Superfund remedial program is currently on a different planning cycle with respect to the rest of the Agency. The remedial program has agreed to adjust its schedule to be consistent with the Agency-wide timeline in the future. For the purposes of FY 2005 annual target development, regions are not expected to enter initial draft targets for Superfund remedial program measures until August 3, 2004.

G: 3	O: 2	S: 2	ST:	Grp: 469	Apg: 005	PM: 152
Managing Office: O	SRTI					
Datatype: Numerica	al Units	s: sites				

155 - Number of final remedies (cleanup targets) selected at Superfund sites.

Comments: As noted in the FY 2005 National Implementation Guidance, the Superfund remedial program is currently on a different planning cycle with respect to the rest of the Agency. The remedial program has agreed to adjust its schedule to be consistent with the Agency-wide timeline in the future. For the purposes of FY 2005 annual target development, regions are not expected to enter initial draft targets for Superfund remedial program measures until August 3, 2004.

G: 3 O: 2 S: 2 ST: Grp: 469 Apg: 005 PM: 155

Managing Office: OSRTI

Datatype: Numerical Units: remedies



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

ojective eede ,	TYON COMMISSION	riag , rabilo 7000							
CA1 - Number of high priority RCRA facilities with human exposures to toxins controlled. (CA725)									
Comments: By the end of FY 2003, 1,246 of the 1,714 RCRA facilities had human exposures controlled.									
G: 3	O: 2	S: 2	ST:	Grp: 469	Apg: 005	PM: CA1			
Managing Office:	OSW								
Datatype: Nume	rical Units:	facilities							
CA2 - Numbe	r of high priority	y RCRA facilities	with toxic relea	ses to groundwat	er controlled.	(CA750)			
	By the end of F oundwater und		f the 1,714 RCR	RA hazardous was	ste manageme	ent facilities had toxic			
G: 3	O: 2	S: 2	ST:	Grp: 469	Apg: 005	PM: CA2			
Managing Office: OSW									
Datatype: Nume	latatype: Numerical Units: facilities								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

CA4 - Number of final remedies (cleanup targets) selected at RCRA sites.

Comments: This measure is under development, and there is currently no national target. Regions may choose to propose targets, but this is not required. Data system element CA400.

G: 3

O: 2

S: 2

ST:

Grp: 469

Apg: 005

PM: CA4

Managing Office: OSW

Non-Commitment Indicator

Datatype: Numerical

Units: remedies

CA5 - Percent of RCRA construction completions.

Comments: This measure is under development, and there is currently no national target. Regions may choose to propose targets, but this is not required. Data system element CA550.

G: 3

O: 2

S: 2

ST:

Grp: 469

Apg: 005

PM: CA5

Managing Office: OSW

Non-Commitment Indicator

Datatype: Numerical

Units: percent



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

CH2 - Number of risk management plan audits completed.									
Comments: By the end of FY 2003, 1,579 RMP audits had been completed. The current number of RMP facilities is 15,677.									
G: 4	O: 1	S: 4	ST:	Grp: 472	Apg: 006	PM: CH2			
Managing Office: OE	PPR								
Datatype: Numerical	Units: aud	dits							
HW3 - Number of	f RCRA hazar	dous waste man	agement facilitie	es with permits o	approved cor	ntrols in place.			
Comments: By to permits or approve			of 2,752 RCRA	hazardous waste	e management	facilities had			
G: 3	O: 1	S: 2	ST:	Grp: 471	Apg: 009	PM: HW3			
Managing Office: OSW									
Datatype: Numerical Units: facilities									



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

Commonto:	In EV 2005	total national raduation	a must at a minimum	00mo to 2 20/	or 0.41 E00 lbo	to most

	national performance target for this measure.									
G: 5	O: 2	S: 2	ST:	Grp: 134	Apg: 008	PM: PB7				

Managing Office: OSW

Non-Commitment Indicator

PB8 - Percentage reduction in generation of priority list chemicals from 1991 levels.

Datatype: Numerical Units: pounds

NPM: OW

04 - Federal return on investment [cumulative dollar amount of assistance disbursements to systems divided by cumulative Federal outlays for projects] provided by the Drinking Water Safe Revolving Fund (DWSRF).

Comments:

G: 2 O: 1 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM:

Managing Office: OGWDW Non-Commitment Indicator

Datatype: Numerical Units: Ratio



Datatype: Numerical

Units: Projects

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

05 - Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available or projects] for the DWSRF.								
Comments: Thinformation	ne 2005 Straw ⁻	Γotal is a simple	average of the I	regional percenta	ges due to the	lack of universe		
G: 2	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: C	OGWDW							
Datatype: Percenta	age Units: Ra	te						
06 - Number of	DWSRF projec	ts that have initia	ated operations.	(cumulative)				
Comments:								
G: 2	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: C	OGWDW	Non-Commitment I	ndicator					



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

07 - The percentage of DWSRF loan agreements made annually that will return Community Water Systems to compliance. Comments: G: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: O: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OGWDW Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: Agreements 08 - Each year, all States will be in compliance with requirement to conduct sanitary surveys at community water systems once every three years, as documented by file audits of a random selection of water systems. Comments: By Dec 2004, states must complete the first round of sanitary surveys for all community water systems that use surface water or GWUDI. Ground water systems will not be included under the requirement to conduct sanitary surveys until the **Ground Water** Rule is promulgated. FY 2005 national target fixed at 100%. G: 2 ST: 0:1 S: 1 Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OGWDW Datatype: Numerical Units: States



Datatype: Percentage

Units: SWAs

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

09 - Each year, all Tribal water community systems will have undergone a sanitary survey within the past 3 years. Comments: By Dec 2004, states must complete the first round of sanitary surveys for all community water systems that use surface water or GWUDI. Ground water systems will not be included under the requirement to conduct sanitary surveys until the **Ground Water** Rule is promulgated. G: 2 0:1 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OGWDW Units: Tribal CWSs Datatype: Numerical 10 - Percentage of source water areas for community water systems that have source water protection strategies in place (cumulative). Comments: G: 2 0:1 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OGWDW Non-Commitment Indicator



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

11 - Percentage of source water areas for community water systems that have implemented some aspects of source water protection strategies (cumulative).									
Comments: Baseline TBD in 2004									
G: 2	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:	OGWDW	Non-Commitment	Indicator						
Datatype: Perce	ntage Units: S	SWAs / CWS							
12 - Number oguidelines.	of Tribal water s	ystems that have	completed a so	ource water asses	ssment consist	ent with national			
Comments: Requirement takes effect in December 2003									
G: 2	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:	OGWDW	Non-Commitment	Indicator						
Datatype: Numerical Units: Tribal Water sy									



Datatype: Percentage

Units: CWSs

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

13a - Percentage of community water systems with source waters classified as high for risk susceptibility. (Classifications to be made starting in 2004) Comments: High Risk G: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: O: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OGWDW Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: CWSs 13b - Percentage of community water systems with source waters classified as moderate for risk susceptibility. (Classifications to be made starting in 2004) Comments: Moderate Risk G: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: O: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OGWDW Non-Commitment Indicator



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

13c - Percentage of community water systems with source waters classified as low for risk susceptibility. (Classifications to be made starting in 2004) Comments: Low Risk G: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: O: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OGWDW Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: CWSs 14 - Percentage of community water systems for which delineated source water areas will be available in a GIS digitized format using agreed upon data management protocols. Comments: Baseline TBD in 2004 G: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: O: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OGWDW Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: Delineated SWAs



Datatype: Percentage

Units: Wells in violat

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

15 - Each year, identify at the State level the most prevalent and threatening categories of existing/potential sources of contamination for surface and ground water for Community Water Systems. Comments: ST: PM: G: 2 O: 1 S: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OGWDW Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Text Units: Categories 17a - The percentage of Class I wells identified in violation that are addressed by the UIC program. Comments: Baseline under the FY03 pilot for this measure, too few states provided information to draw a baseline. Universe is FY03 UIC Inventory Data from Grants Database. R1 and R2 do not have class I wells. National Straw Total is a simple average of Regional Straw Targets. G: 2 S: 1 ST: O· 1 Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OGWDW



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

, i		ag , . aaa							
17b - The perc	17b - The percentage of Class II wells identified in violation that are addressed by the UIC program.								
Comments: Baseline under the FY03 pilot for this measure, too few states provided information to draw a baseline. Universe is FY03 UIC Inventory Data from Grants Database. Region 1 does not have Class II wells. National Straw Total is a simple average of Regional Straw Targets.									
G: 2	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:	OGWDW								
Datatype: Percent	age Units:	Wells in violat							
17d - The perc	entage of Clas	ss V wells ide	entified in violatio	n that are addre	essed by the UIC	program.			
Comments: B baseline.	Comments: Baseline under the FY03 pilot for this measure, too few states provided information to draw a								
National Straw Total is a simple average of Regional Straw Targets. Complete universe of Class V Wells is unknown. 1999 National Estimate is 500,000 - 650,000.									
G: 2	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office:	OGWDW								
Datatype: Percentage Units: Wells in violat									



Datatype: Percentage

Units: Survey

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

18 - Percentage of identified Class V Motor Vehicle Waste Disposal wells that are closed or permitted. Comments: Under the FY03 pilot for this measure, too few states provided information to draw a baseline/universe. The 2005 Straw Total is a simple average of the regional percentages due to the lack of universe information. Complete universe of Class V Wells is unknown. 1999 National Estimate is 500,000 - 650,000. MVWD Wells are a subset of Class V Wells. ST: G: 2 0:1 S: 1 PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OGWDW Datatype: Percentage Units: Class V Wells 19 - Percentage of ground water-based source water areas for Community Water Systems that have a Class V survey completed. Comments: Baseline in 2004 G: 2 O: 1 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OGWDW Non-Commitment Indicator



Datatype: Percentage

Units: Inspections

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

20a - Percentage increase in the number of inspections conducted for Class II wells above a 2004 baseline. Comments: Under the FY03 pilot for this measure, too few states provided information to draw a baseline. Universe is FY03 UIC Inventory Data from Grants Database. Region 1 does not have Class II wells. National Straw Total is a simple average of Regional Straw Targets. S: 1 ST: PM: G: 2 O: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OGWDW Datatype: Percentage Units: Inspections 20b - Percentage increase in the number of inspections conducted for Class V wells above a 2004 baseline. Comments: Under the FY03 pilot for this measure, too few states provided information to draw a baseline. National Straw Total is a simple average of Regional Straw Targets. Complete universe of Class V Wells is unknown. 1999 National Estimate is 500,000 - 650,000. G: 2 S: 1 ST: O: 1 Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OGWDW



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

2.1.1 - % of population served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards through effective treatment and source water protection									
Comments: This is the text for subobjective 2.1.1									
G: 2	O: 1	S: 1	ST:	Grp: 028	Apg: 211	PM: aa			
Managing Office: O	GWDW								
Datatype: Percentag	ge Units: %	population							
0.0.4	. In that I am)/ .	- (ata a dan da			
2.2.1a - vvatersr	ieds that have	greater than 80%	% of assessed v	vaters meeting all	water quality	standards.			
Comments: Th	is text is part 1	of the watershe	d subobjective						
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp: 045	Apg: 221	PM: 223			
Managing Office: OWOW									
Datatype: Numerical Units: 8-digit HUCs									



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

2.2.1b - Number of the Nation's watersheds where at least 20 percent of assessed water segments show improvement above conditions as of 2002. (2,258 watersheds nationwide)Comments:

Comments:								
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: OWOW								
Datatype: Numerical Units: watersheds imp								
31a - Number of	States that ha	ave adopted the i	new fish tissue o	criterion for merci	ury.			
Comments:								
G: 2	O: 1	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: O	ST	Non-Commitment I	ndicator					
Datatype: Numerical Units: States								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

31b - Number of authorized Tribes that have adopted the new fish tissue criterion for mercury.								
Comments:								
G: 2	O: 1	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: OS	ST.	Non-Commitment Ir	ndicator					
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Tri	bes						
33 - Number of coastal and Great Lakes States and Territories that have adopted, for coastal recreational waters, water quality criteria for E.Coli and enterococci. Comments: This target does not account for the national proposal and promulgation of replacement standards for states as required by the Beach Act. EPA proposed standards on July 9, 2004, for those states and territories that have not yet adopted them. EPA currently plans to finalize the proposed standards in early fiscal year 2005. See also PAM IV-GL-4. G: 2								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

35 - Percentage of significant public beaches monitored and managed under the BEACH Act Program. [No BEACH Act implementation in 2002.]									
Comments: Only for tier 1 beaches									
The 2005 Straw Total is a simple average of the regional percentages due to the lack of universe information. Only applies to beaches as defined by the BEACH Act of 2000 (i.e. marine coastal waters, including coastal estuaries, that are designated under section 303(c) by a State for use for swimming, bathing, surfing, or similar water contact activities and waters of the Great Lakes). See section 3.2.3 of EPA's National Beach Guidance and Required Performance Criteria (June 2000) for more information.									
G: 2	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office: C	OST								
Datatype: Percenta	age Units: S	ignificant Pub							
36 - Percentage CSO permits with schedules in place in permits or other enforceable mechanisms to implement approved Long Term Control Plans (LTCPs). Comments: Revised language as of September, 2004 to be consistent with the CSO/SSO Report to Congress, the NPDES Management Report, and OECA measures.									
G: 2 Managing Office: C	O: 1	S: 3	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Patatype: Numerical Units: CSO Permits									



Datatype: Numerical

Units: States/Terr/Trb

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

37 - Number of States that have adopted the Voluntary Management Guidelines for On-site/Decentralized Wastewater Treatment Systems. (cumulative) Comments: G: 2 ST: PM: O: 1 S: 3 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWM Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: States 38 - Number of States & authorized Tribes that have completed a review of water quality standards within three years of the previous triennial review under Section 303(c) of the CWA. (56 State/Territories, & 22 authorized Tribes) Comments: G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OST Non-Commitment Indicator



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

40 - Cumulative number of States and Territories that have adopted into their water quality standards, and EPA has approved, nutrient criteria for fresh water (rivers/streams, lakes, and reservoirs). Comments: S: 1 ST: PM: G: 2 0:2 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OST Datatype: Numerical Units: States/Territor 41 - Cumulative number of States and Territories that have adopted into their water quality programs for streams and small rivers, biological criteria designed to support determination of attainment of water quality standard use designations standards. [Note: biological criteria may include quantitative endpoints or narrative criteria with quantitative implementation procedures or translators] Comments: Grp: G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OST Units: States/Territor Datatype: Numerical



Datatype: Percentage

Units: Standard Submis

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

42 - Cumulative number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. Comments: *The universe is overstated because it should only include those Tribes eligible for treatment as a state (TAS) and have reservation lands since they are the only ones eligible to administer a WQS program. OST will determine what the correct universe should be. G: 2 O: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OST Datatype: Numerical Units: Tribes 43 - Each year, percentage of State/Tribal water quality standards submissions that are approved/disapproved by EPA within 90 days. Comments: G: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: 0:2 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OST



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

44 - Each year, the number of States & Territories that have adopted and begun implementing a comprehensive monitoring strategy [including a State approach to putting data into STORET] consistent with national guidance. (i.e, March 2003 guidance describing 10 key monitoring elements). (cumulative) Comments: G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWOW Datatype: Numerical Units: States/Territor 45 - Cumulative number of States, Interstate Agencies, and Territories that provide comprehensive integrated assessments of the condition of their waters consistent with sections 305(b) and 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and EPA's integrated assessment guidance. (56 State/Territories) Comments: G: 2 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OWOW

Units: States & Territ

Datatype: Numerical



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

46a - Number of Tribes that currently receive EPA funding that have developed comprehensive monitoring strategies that serve all water quality management needs, and address all tribal waters, including all water body types. Comments: 2005 national target is under development G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWOW Datatype: Numerical Units: Strategies 46b - Number of Tribes that currently receive EPA funding that provide their water quality data in a system accessible for storage in EPA's STORET. Comments: 2005 national target is under development G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OWOW

Units: tribes w/storet

Datatype: Numerical



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - * Data Source: BAS

48a - The number of watershed based plans, supported under State Nonpoint Source Program grants (section

319) since the beginning of FY 2002 have been developed.									
Comments:	Comments:								
•			0.77	_					
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office: OV	VOW	Non-Commitment I	ndicator						
Datatype: Numerical	Units: pla	ns under dev							
48b - The numbe Source Program									
Comments:									
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office: OV	VOW	Non-Commitment I	ndicator						
Datatype: Numerical Units: river miles/acr									



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

48c - The number of watershed based plans where watershed based plans are being implemented. Comments: G: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: 0:2 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWOW Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: plans being imp 48d - The number of river miles/acres covered by watershed based plans that are being implemented. Comments: G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWOW Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: river miles/acr



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

49a - Cumulative number of watershed based plans, supported under State Nonpoint Source Programs (section 319) since the beginning of FY 2002 that have been substantially implemented. Comments: S: 1 ST: PM: G: 2 0:2 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWOW Datatype: Numerical Units: plans implement 51 - Percentage of TMDLs approved since the beginning of 2004 that were developed as part of a larger, watershed planning process that addressed restoration and protection of all waters within a watershed. Comments: G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWOW Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: TMDLs



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

52 - Percentage of the TMDLs required for waters currently on the 303(d) list that are established or approved by EPA within 13 years of listing consistent with national policy. Annual targets will be based on state schedules or straight-line rates that ensure that the national policy is met.

Comments: The universe, outyear, and national target represent the number of TMDLs needed to stay on pace with national policy (FY05 pace) NOT the total number of TMDLs that need to be done (National = 46,054)

G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: OWOW								
Datatype: Numerical Units: TMDLs on FY 05								
53 - Number of Tribes that currently receive EPA funding in 2004 that have participated with States &/or EPA in development of measures (e.g., TMDLs or watershed-based plans) to restore and protect watersheds with impaired waters.								
Comments: bas	eline TBD in 2	2004						
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: OWOW								
Datatype: Numerical Units: Tribes								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

54 - Percentage of TMDL approvals occurring since the beginning of FY 04 for which EPA took approval action within 30 days of submission. Comments: baseline TBD in 2004 ST: PM: G: 2 0:2 S: 1 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWOW Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: TMDLs 55 - Cumulative number of TMDLs approved by EPA or watershed plans to restore nutrient-impaired waters on a state impaired-waters list that contain provisions to enable trading. Provisions could include a range of practicable WLA/LA adjustments that would achieve the TMDL or incorporation of a state-approved trading framework that may be used to implement the TMDL. Comments: G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OWOW Datatype: Numerical TMDLs/Watershed



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

56 - Number of waterbodies identified by States in 2000 as being impaired by nonpoint sources or by both point & nonpoint sources that are fully restored (cumulative). [Estimated 5,967 waterbodies impaired solely or partially by nonpoint source]

or partially by nor	npoint source]								
Comments:									
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office: OV	Managing Office: OWOW Non-Commitment Indicator								
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Wa	aterbodies							
57a - Annual red	uction in lbs of	nitrogen from no	onpoint sources	to waterbodies					
Comments: FY()5 results avai	lable February 1	5, 2006						
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office: OV	VOW	Non-Commitment Ir	ndicator						
Datatype: Numerical Units: lbs									



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

•										
57b - Annual r	57b - Annual reduction in lbs of phosphorus from nonpoint sources to waterbodies									
Comments: FY05 results available February 15, 2006										
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
Managing Office:	owow	Non-Commitment	Indicator							
Datatype: Numeri	cal Units: lb:	s								
57c - Annual re	eduction in tons	of sediment fron	n nonpoint sourc	ces to waterbodie	es					
Comments: F	Y05 results ava	nilable February	15, 2006							
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
Managing Office:	Managing Office: OWOW Non-Commitment Indicator									
Datatype: Numerical Units: tons										



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

58a - Number of projects financed with Clean Water SRF loans to prevent polluted runoff (cumulative). Comments: G: 2 O: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWM Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Projects 58b - Dollar value of projects financed with Clean Water SRF loans to prevent polluted runoff (cumulative). Comments: G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWM Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: dollars-billion



Datatype: Percentage

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Units: priority permit

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

59a - For states, percentage of all NPDES permits that are considered current. [targets to be reevaluated once universe of priority permits is defined in cooperation with States/Tribes] Comments: FY02 baseline is 97,172 of 117,873 permits FY05 commitments based on a universe of 110,303 permits nationally S: 1 ST: PM: G: 2 0:2 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWM Datatype: Percentage Units: All Permits 59b - For states, beginning in 2005, the percentage of high priority permits that are current. [targets to be reevaluated once universe of priority permits is defined in cooperation with States/Tribes] Comments: *There is no single definition of "priority permit," rather, EPA has identified a set of factors to be considered: see 3/5/2004 memo from Jim Hanlon. G: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: 0:2 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWM



Datatype: Percentage

Units: priority permit

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

59c - For Tribes, percentage of all NPDES permits that are considered current. [targets to be reevaluated once universe of priority permits is defined in cooperation with States/Tribes] Comments: Note: 90% target is annual target starting in 2005 S: 1 ST: PM: G: 2 0:2 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWM Datatype: Numerical Units: All Permits 59d - For Tribes, beginning in 2005, the percentage of high priority permits that are current. [targets to be reevaluated once universe of priority permits is defined in cooperation with States/Tribes] Comments: Note: 95% target is annual starting in 2005. *The total 2005 Straw is over 95%, but without a universe the calculation cannot be made. ** The Regions will need to define the universe of priority permits in Indian Country in early 05 S: 1 ST: G: 2 O: 2 Grp: Apg: PM: Managing Office: OWM



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

60a - Cumulative number of authorized States or territories that have updated or existing regulations and/or statutes which reflect new CAFO requirements.

and territories wit		e as of Septemb	er, 2004, for cla	ırıty. This measi	ure includes all	authorized State
	ix States/territ	tories with CAFC	s are not autho	rized to issue NF	PDES permits (s that EPA tracks (MA, NH, NM, OK
Baseline is from 2	2003					
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office: OV	VM					
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Au	th States				
60b - Cumulative issued, or the per requirements.						
Comments: Rev territory that has					neasure applie	s to any State or
States/territories Territories that ha EPA issues perm	ave CAFOs: F	R2: Puerto Rico	RI, AK, DC, Virç	gin Islands		
Revised rule pub	lished Februa	ry 12, 2003				
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:
Managing Office: OV	VM					
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Sta	ates				



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

61 - Percentage of States/Regions that have issued NPDES permits requiring storm water management programs for all Phase II municipalities (MS4s).

Comments: Revised language as of September, 2004 revises the measure to take into account the fact that some States are issuing individual permits rather than general permits to their Phase II municipalities (MS4s). S: 1 ST: PM: G: 2 0:2 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWM Datatype: Numerical Units: Permitting Auth 62 - Percentage of States/Regions that have issued NPDES general permits requiring storm water pollution prevention plans for Phase II construction (estimated annual load reduction of 17 billion pounds of pollutants). (Note: assumes continued availability of general permits) Comments: 05 target is 49/50=98% G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: Grp: Apg: PM:

Managing Office: OWM

Datatype: Numerical Units: Permitting Auth



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

63a - Percentage of Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) in POTWs with Pretreatment Programs. Comments: G: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: 0:2 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWM Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: SIUs 63b - Percentage of known Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs) in non-pretreatment POTWs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment requirements. Comments: G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWM Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Percentage Units: CIUs



Datatype: Numerical

Units: Dischargers

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

67a - Number of dischargers with permits providing for trading between the discharger and other water pollution sources. Comments: G: 2 O: 2 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWM Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Dischargers 67b - The number of dischargers that carried out trades, who had permits providing for trading between the discharger and other water pollution sources. Comments: G: 2 0:2 S: 1 ST: PM: Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWM Non-Commitment Indicator



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

68a - Number of watersheds in which a watershed permit(s) has been issued.										
Comments	Comments:									
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
				Oiβ.	7.49.	1 101.				
Managing Off	ice: OWM	Non-Comm	itment Indicator							
Datatype: Nu	merical Units	s: Watersheds								
68b - The r	number of States	s issuing NPDI	ES permits using	a rotating basin	process.					
		-		-						
Comments	:									
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
Managing Off	ice: OWM	Non-Comm	itment Indicator							
Datatype: Nu	merical Units	s: States								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - * Data Source: BAS

69a - Percentage of NPDES program authorities where a comprehensive assessment of NPDES program

ntegrity has been conducted (beginning in FY 04).								
Comments:								
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: OV		Non-Commitment Ir		Э. р.	7.43.			
Datatype: Percentag	e Units: ass	sessed auth						
69b - Percentage follow-up actions				h implementation	schedules for	all those		
Comments:								
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: OV	VM	Non-Commitment Ir	ndicator					
Datatype: Percentage Units: assesd programs								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

70 - Fund utilization rate [cumulative loan agreement dollars to the cumulative funds available for projects]										
Comments:	for the CWSRF. Comments:									
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
Managing Office: O	W M									
Datatype: Percenta	ge Units: Ra	ite								
		nent [cumulative for projects] for t		f assistance disbu	ursements to p	projects divided				
Comments:										
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
Managing Office: OWM Non-Commitment Indicator										
Datatype: Numerical Units: Ratio										



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

72 - Number of States using integrated planning and priority systems to make CWSRF funding decisions.									
Comments:									
G: 2	O: 2	S: 1	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office: OV	VM			·	7.0				
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Sta	ates							
74a - Number of by COE-Led stak managed in a be	eholder proce	ss and the perce	entage of dredge	ed material from o	ports and har coastal waters	bors developed that is			
Comments: Cor	rp of Engineer	s Reports on this	s measure						
G: 2	O: 2	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:			
Managing Office: OV	Managing Office: OWOW Non-Commitment Indicator								
Datatype: Numerical Units: Plans									



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

75 - Number of ocean disposal sites with approved site management and monitoring plans that are monitored in the reporting year, including those monitored by EPA's Ocean Survey Vessel, Peter W. Anderson. Comments: S: 2 ST: PM: G: 2 0:2 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWOW Non-Commitment Indicator Datatype: Numerical Units: Sites w/ plans A - Population served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets health-based standards with which systems need to comply as of December 2001 Comments: Strategic Target A G: 2 S: 1 ST: Grp: 028 O: 1 Apg: A PM: A

Managing Office: OGWDW

Datatype: Percentage Units: % Population



Managing Office: OGWDW

Units: % CWSs

Datatype: Percentage

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

B - Population served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets health-based standards with a compliance date of January 2002 or later Comments: (Covered standards include: Stage 1 disinfection by-products/interim enhanced surface water treatment rule/long-term enhanced surface water treatment rule/arsenic). Baseline TBD in 2004 G: 2 S: 1 ST: Grp: 028 PM: B O: 1 Apg: B Managing Office: OGWDW Datatype: Percentage Units: % Population C - Percentage of community water systems that provide drinking water that meets health-based standards with which systems need to comply as of December 2001 Comments: G: 2 S: 1 ST: Grp: 028 PM: C O: 1 Apg: C



Datatype: Percentage

Units: % Population

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

D - Percentage of community water systems that provide drinking water that meets health-based standards with a compliance date of January 2002 or later Comments: (Covered standards include: Stage 1 disinfection by- products/interim enhanced surface water treatment rule/long-term enhanced surface water treatment rule/arsenic) Baseline TBD in 2004 S: 1 ST: Grp: 028 PM: D G: 2 O: 1 Apg: D Managing Office: OGWDW Datatype: Percentage Units: % CWSs E - Percent of the population served by community water systems in Indian country that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards Comments: G: 2 S: 1 ST: Grp: 028 PM: E O: 1 Apg: E Managing Office: OGWDW



Datatype: Numerical

Units: actions complet

Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *,

Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006

Data Source: BAS

F - Percent of source water areas for community water systems that achieve minimized risk to public health Comments: ("Minimized risk" achieved by substantial implementation, as determined by the State, of source water protection actions in a source water protection strategy.) S: 1 ST: G: 2 O: 1 Grp: 040 PM: F Apg: F Managing Office: OGWDW Datatype: Percentage Units: % Areas IV-NEP-1a - Number of NEP priority actions in CCMPs that have been completed. Comments: Baseline determined by Dec 2003 G: 4 0:2 ST: PM: S: 4 Grp: Apg: Managing Office: OWOW Non-Commitment Indicator



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

bjective code - , Noi	ojective code - , Non-communent riag - , rubiic Access: -									
IV-NEP-1b - Num	nber of NEP p	riority actions in (CCMPs that hav	ve been initiated.						
Comments: Bas	Comments: Baseline determined by Dec 2003									
G: 4	O: 2	S: 4	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
Managing Office: OV	VOW	Non-Commitment I	ndicator							
Datatype: Numerical	Units: act	ions initiat								
IV-NEP-3 - Retur Section 320 fund				nount of resource	(cash or in-ki	nd) leveraged by				
Comments:										
G: 4	O: 2	S: 4	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
Managing Office: OWOW Non-Commitment Indicator										
Datatype: Numerical	Units: dol	lars								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

IV-NEP-4 - NEPs have indicators in place to track key environmental and other trends in their estuary based

on CCMP priorities and emerging issues, including invasive species where appropriate, and to enable each NEP to periodically report on status and trends.								
Comments:								
G: 4	O: 2	S: 4	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: OW	WOW	Non-Commitment In	ndicator					
Datatype: Numerical	Units: Ind	licators						
1\/\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	or of Chahaa th	at have ashioves	l averell mat mair	aa af watlanda b	و موالدان و الداد	oitico in watland		
IV-WD-1 - Number monitoring, regular								
Comments:								
0.4	0.2	0.0	CT.	0	A	DM		
G: 4	O: 3	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: OWOW Non-Commitment Indicator								
Datatype: Numerical Units: States								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

IV-WD-2 - Number of watershed-based wetlands and stream corridor projects (combined 5-Star and non-5-Star projects) for which EPA has provided / contributed significant financial and technical assistance. [cumulative projects]								
Comments:								
G: 4	O: 3	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: OV	WOW	Non-Commitment I	ndicator					
Datatype: Numerical	Units: pro	ojects						
IV-WD-3 - Cumu corridor projects Program and Fiv	for which EPA	has provided sig	gnificant financia	al assistance (ind	cluding 104(b)			
Comments:								
G: 4	O: 3	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:		
Managing Office: OWOW								
Datatype: Numerical Units: Tribes								



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - *, Region - 10, Commitment Code - *, Goal Code - *, Objective Code - *, Non-Commitment Flag - *, Public Access? - *

V-WD-4 - Cumulative number of major projects that have been completed in States and Tribes that significantly improve the effectiveness of compensatory mitigation.										
Comments:										
G: 4	O: 3	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
Managing Office: O\	WOW	Non-Commitment I	ndicator							
Datatype: Numerica	I Units: pro	pjects								
IV-WD-5 - Numb assessments.	er of States w	here wetland cor	ndition has impre	oved as defined t	hrough biologi	ical metrics and				
Comments:										
G: 4	O: 3	S: 2	ST:	Grp:	Apg:	PM:				
Managing Office: OWOW										
Datatype: Numerical Units: States										



Commitment Tracking Detailed Regional Report

Prompt Values: FY - 2005, NPM - $\,^*$, Region - 10, Commitment Code - $\,^*$, Goal Code - $\,^*$, Objective Code - $\,^*$, Non-Commitment Flag - $\,^*$, Public Access? - $\,^*$

Report Data as of: January 30, 2006 Data Source: BAS

L - Waterbodies (river miles and lake acres) identified in 2000 as not attaining Water quality standards, are fully attained.

Comments: (21,632 waterbodies; 255,408 miles and 6.8 million acres)

Units= water segments in 2000 303(d) list

outyear goal is for 2012

5% goal by 2006

G: 2

O: 2

S: 1

ST:

Grp: 045

Apg: L

PM: L

Managing Office: OWOW

Datatype: Numerical

Units: Impaired waters